

# Integra Resources Corp.

**Management's Discussion and Analysis** 

For the Years Ended December 31, 2020 and 2019

**Expressed in Canadian Dollars** 



This portion of this quarterly report provides Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") of the financial condition and results of operations, to enable a reader to assess material changes in financial condition and results of operations as at, and for the year ended December 31, 2020, in comparison to the corresponding prior—year periods. The MD&A is intended to help the reader understand Integra Resources Corp. ("Integra", "we", "our" or the "Company"), our operations, financial performance, and present and future business environment.

This MD&A has been prepared by management as at March 12, 2021 and should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements of Integra for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019 prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (the "IASB"). Further information on the Company can be found on SEDAR at www.sedar.com and the Company's website, www.integraresources.com.

For the purposes of preparing our MD&A, we consider the materiality of information. Information is considered material if: (i) such information results in, or would reasonably be expected to result in, a significant change in the market price or value of our shares; or (ii) there is a substantial likelihood that a reasonable investor would consider it important in making an investment decision; or (iii) it would significantly alter the total mix of information available to investors. We evaluate materiality with reference to all relevant circumstances, including potential market sensitivity.

### **CORPORATE SUMMARY**

Integra Resources Corp. is a mineral resources company engaged in the acquisition, exploration, and development of mineral properties in the Americas. The primary focus of the Company is advancement of its DeLamar gold and silver project ("DeLamar Project"), consisting of the neighboring DeLamar Deposit and Florida Mountain Deposit ("Florida Mtn" or "Florida Mountain") in the heart of the historic Owyhee County mining district in south western Idaho. The management team comprises the former executive team from Integra Gold Corp. The Company announced in September 2019 a positive Preliminary Economic Assessment and expects completing a Pre-Feasibility Study in late 2021.

As of March 12, 2021, the directors and officers of the Company were:

George Salamis President, Director and CEO Stephen de Jong Chairman and Director

Andrée St-Germain CFO and Corporate Secretary
Max Baker Vice President Exploration
Timothy Arnold Chief Operating Officer

Joshua Serfass Executive VP, Corporate Development and Investor Relations

David Awram Director
Timo Juristo Director
Anna Ladd-Kruger Director
C.L. "Butch" Otter Director
Carolyn Loder Director

The Company is incorporated under the *Business Corporations Act* (British Columbia) (the "BCBCA"). See "2020 in Review" section below for further details.

The Company's head office is located at 1050 – 400 Burrard Street, Vancouver, BC V6C 3A6 and its registered office is located at 2200 HSBC Building, 885 West Georgia Street Vancouver, BC V6C 3E8.

The Company trades on the TSX Venture Exchange ("TSX-V"), under the trading symbol "ITR" and trades in the United States on the NYSE American under the stock symbol "ITRG".



The following diagram illustrates the intercorporate relationships among Integra and its subsidiaries, as well as the jurisdiction of incorporation of each entity.



### **2020 IN REVIEW**

### **CORPORATE**

For the safety of all employees, the Company closed its corporate office (Vancouver, BC) in mid-March as a result of the COVID-19 global outbreak. All corporate employees continue to work remotely from home, with some employees now working periodically at the office under safe COVID protection protocols. One of the most impacted activities at the corporate level has been the ability to travel due to travel bans and safety risks. The Company has however remained extremely active on the investor relations and marketing fronts through virtual media forums both with investors and at multiple industry conferences.

### **Corporate Matters**

On February 6, 2020, the Company announced that it had been approved for graduation from Tier 2 to Tier 1 issuer status on the TSX-V. The TSX-V classifies issuers into different tiers based on standards including historical financial performance, stage of development and financial resources. Tier 1 is the TSX-V's premier tier and is reserved for the TSX-V's most advanced issuers. As a result of the graduation to Tier 1 issuer status, the remaining 966,563 common shares previously held in escrow were released in early February. The number of outstanding common shares did not change as a result of the escrow release.

The Company held its Annual General and Special Meeting of shareholders ("AGSM") on June 16, 2020 by telephone, given the COVID-19 pandemic. A total of 26,452,596 common shares were voted, representing 55.3% of the Company's outstanding shares. All of the directors were re-elected, and all other resolutions were approved by the Company's shareholders.



On June 29, 2020, the Company completed a continuation of the Company from the Province of Ontario to the Province of British Columbia under. As a result of the continuation, the *Business Corporations Act* (Ontario) will no longer apply to the Company and the Company is subject to the *Business Corporations Act* (British Columbia) (the "BCBCA") as if it had been originally incorporated under the BCBCA.

On July 31, 2020, the common shares of the Company began trading on the NYSE American under the symbol "ITRG". The common shares ceased trading on the OTCQX concurrently with the NYSE American listing. The Company continues to be listed on the TSX-V under the symbol "ITR".

In connection with its NYSE American listing, the Company completed a share consolidation on a 2.5 to 1 basis on July 9, 2020. The share consolidation reduced the number of outstanding common shares from 119,557,943 to 47,823,177. No fractional common shares were issued pursuant to the consolidation and any fractional common shares that would have otherwise been issued were rounded down to the nearest whole number and cancelled.

### **Financings**

On August 21, 2020, the Company filed a final base shelf prospectus relating to the offering for sale from time to time of up to \$100 million of common shares, warrants, subscription receipts and units.

The Company closed on September 14, 2020 a previously announced US\$23.1 million (C\$30.4 million) bought deal financing. Pursuant to the offering, Integra issued 6,785,000 common shares of the Company, including 885,000 common shares issued in connection with the exercise in full of the over-allotment option granted to the underwriters in connection with the offering, at a price of US\$3.40 (C\$4.48) per common share.

On December 30, 2020, the Company filed a prospectus supplement to qualify the distribution of up to US\$25 million of common shares by way of an at-the-market offering (the "ATM"). Net proceeds, if any, from the ATM are expected to fund additional exploration drilling.

### Other

In July 2020, the Company led an effort with the Association for Mineral Exploration BC ("AMEBC") that raised over \$100,000 within the BC mining community to support foodbanks that serve rural, remote, and Indigenous communities.

In December 2020, Integra organized and co-sponsored a diversity & inclusion ("D&I") workshop amongst a group of roughly 25 mining executives, with instruction led by Brooks & Nelson. The goal of this workshop was to promote D&I training amongst Integra's leadership team, as well as extend the training to a group of industry leaders.

On December 3, 2020, the Company appointed Mr. Joshua Serfass to the position of Executive Vice President, Corporate Development and Investors Relations and Mr. Mark Stockton to the position of Vice President, Corporate Affairs and Sustainability. Earlier in 2020, the Company also successfully recruited a Senior Exploration Manager, Site Controller, Permitting Manager, and Engineering Manager. Most of these new employees are working from the Company's Boise office.

# **EXPLORATION**

For the safety of all employees, the Company suspended drilling and exploration activities in mid-March due to COVID-19. The United States government declared mining an essential service; with comprehensive operational procedures in-place, which were specifically designed to mitigate the risk of disease transmission amongst essential site staff and crews, the Company resumed drilling in mid-May. The Company continues to practice social distancing and comply with both Oregon and Idaho state government requirements regarding COVID-19. Though initially delayed due to COVID-19, all planned exploration drilling in 2020 was successfully executed.



### **Drilling**

The Company completed a total of 14,517 m of exploration drilling in 2020, including 9,091 m at Florida Mtn, 3,674 m at War Eagle, 1,083 m at DeLamar, and 669m at BlackSheep.

# Florida Mtn Drilling

Integra drilled 20 drill holes at Florida Mountain specifically targeting high-grade shoots. Of those 20 drill holes, 13 intersected significant high-grade gold-silver mineralization in most cases well outside of the existing mineral resource boundaries.

Integra's exploration team identified 7 high-grade vein structures, with an aggregate length of 7,000 m that appear similar in size and orientation to the historically productive high-grade Trade Dollar – Black Jack vein system. Most historic underground production stemmed from the Trade Dollar – Black Jack vein, while the remaining 6 veins saw limited production up until mining operations ceased with the start of World War I.

Integra refined its understanding of the controls on high-grade vein mineralization at Florida Mountain in early 2020 and used this model to specifically target the higher-grade "shoots" within the several vein systems identified to date. Those higher-grade shoots are interpreted as being localized at the intersections of the North-Northwest and roughly East-West Trending fault/fracture systems. The high-grade shoots within those vein structures are localized at structural intersections, have strike length of up to 100m or more and down-dip extensions of several hundred meters, with widths of between 1 m and 8 m.

The Company announced on February 24, 2020 the final drill holes received from the 2019 Florida Mountain Deposit metallurgical sampling program, highlighting high-grade gold ("Au") and silver ("Ag") outside of current resource boundary.

Florida Mtn exploration drilling highlight intercepts included\*:

- Drill hole IFM19-073: 40.39 g/t Au and 11.38 g/t Ag over 1.52 m
- Drill hole IFM19-074: 9.73 a/t Au and 19.55 a/t Ag over 1.52 m

On July 29, 2020, the Company announced drilling results from its first four drill holes of the 2020 program at Florida Mtn. The holes intersected significant high-grade gold and silver mineralization both within and outside of the existing NI 43-101 mineral resource boundary. These drill results provide evidence that structurally-controlled high-grade veins exist below the current mineral resource and that further drill definition could potentially be interpreted and modelled as high-grade mill feed in future economic studies.

Florida Mtn exploration drilling highlight intercepts included\*:

- Drill Hole FME-20-076
  - 1.99 g/t Au and 24.17 g/t Ag (2.30 g/t gold equivalent "AuEq") over 117.04 m
    - Including 72.37 g/t Au and 82.00 g/t Ag (73.43 g/t AuEq) over 1.52 m
    - Including 6.77 g/t Au and 68.62 g/t Ag (7.65 g/t AuEq) over 10.97 m
  - 10.08 g/t Au and 1,233.77 g/t Ag (25.96 g/t AuEq) over 3.60 m
    - Including 34.40 g/t Au and 4,075.40 g/t Ag (86.85 g/t AuEq) over 0.88 m
  - 6.37 g/t Au and 1,459 g/t Ag (25.14 g/t AuEq) over 1.52 m
- Drill Hole FME-20-077
  - 1.63 g/t Au and 17.13 g/t Ag (1.85 g/t AuEg) over 113.69 m
    - Including 72.07 g/t Au and 63.16 g/t Ag (72.88 g/t AuEq) over 1.52 m

On September 24, 2020, the Company announced drilling and geophysics results from Florida Mountain. Five drill holes intersected significant high-and-low-grade gold and silver mineralization both within and below the existing NI 43-101 mineral resource boundary.

Florida Mtn exploration drilling highlight intercepts included\*:

- o Drill Hole FME-20-084
  - 1.51 g/t Au and 102.12 g/t Ag (2.82 g/t AuEg) over 87.48 m
    - Including 8.91 g/t Au and 607.55 g/t Ag (16.73 g/t AuEq) over 6.25 m
    - Including 7.57 g/t Au and 652.54 g/t Ag (15.96 g/t AuEq) over 1.37 m
- o Drill Hole FME-20-80
  - 11.75 g/t Au and 1,951.88 g/t Ag (36.87 g/t AuEg) over 1.68 m
    - Including 25.29 g/t Au and 3,841.14 g/t Ag (74.73 g/t AuEq) over 0.76 m
- o Drill Hole FME-20-081
  - 11.07 g/t Au and 1,480.13 g/t Ag (30.12 g/t AuEq) over 0.61 m

On December 9, 2020, the Company announced further exploration results from the 2020 Florida Mountain exploration program.

Florida Mtn exploration drilling highlight intercepts included\*:

- Drill Hole FME-20-085 (Within and below existing resource estimate)
  - 4.53 g/t Au and 262.67 g/t Ag (7.91 g/t AuEq) over 85.35 m
    - Including 11.74 g/t Au and 652.45 g/t Ag (20.14 g/t AuEq) over 30.48 m
- Drill Hole FME-20-086 (50 m beneath existing resource estimate)
  - 0.55 g/t Au and 18.16 g/t Ag (0.79 g/t AuEq) over 123.14 m
    - Including 9.98 g/t Au and 16.43 g/t Ag (10.19 g/t AuEq) over 1.22 m
- Drill Hole FME-20-087 (230 m beneath existing resource estimate along the Alpine Vein)
  - 1.76 g/t Au and 347.37 g/t Ag (6.23 g/t AuEg) over 1.37 m
- Drill Hole FME-20-088 (220 m beneath the existing resource estimate along the Trade Dollar Vein)
  - 13.36 g/t Au and 13.04 g/t Ag (13.53 g/t AuEq) over 2.44 m
- Drill Hole FME-20-091 (30 m south of existing resource estimate)
  - 2.36 g/t Au and 2.38 g/t Ag (2.39 g/t AuEq) over 30.93 m
    - Including 14.49 g/t Àu and 9.94 g/t Ag (14.62 g/t AuEq) over 3.96 m
- Drill Hole FME-20-093 (250 m south of existing resource estimate)
  - 8.61 g/t Au and 479.00 g/t Ag (14.78 g/t AuEg) over 1.61 m

# War Eagle Drilling

Drilling at War Eagle identified two parallel mineralized structures approximately 150 m apart with strike lengths of over 500 m. Those principal structures host high-grade gold and silver mineralization associated with quartz-pyrite veinlets within rhyolite breccias and brecciated volcano-sediments. The mineralization identified to date within the volcanics is considered to be within the diffuse cap which sits above the modelled high-grade veins within the underlying granite. The broad distribution of mineralization delineated by the soil geochemical anomalies indicates considerable lateral dispersion of mineralization within the permeable volcanic cap, possibly from a structure several hundred meters east of the known mineralized structures. All holes drilled in 2020 at War Eagle intersected these mineralized zones to varying degrees, highlighting the presence of high-grade gold silver concentrations in the form of 100 m to 200 m strike lengths in steeply plunging shoots.

The Company announced on February 6, 2020 drilling results from War Eagle, including 5.40 g/t Au and 45.85 Ag (5.99 g/t AuEq) over 3.05 m at War Eagle in 150 m Step-out.



War Eagle exploration drilling highlight intercepts\*:

- Drill hole IWE19-06: 5.40 g/t Au and 45.85 Ag (5.99 g/t AuEq) over 3.05 m, located approximately 150 m south of IWE19-01 and IWE19-02.
- Drill hole IWE19-04: 2.98 g/t Au and 7.27 g/t Ag (3.07 g/t AuEq) over 3.05 m, located approximately 150 m north of IWE19-01 and IWE19-02.
- Drill hole IWE19-05: 3.29 g/t Au and 155.57 g/t Ag (5.29 g/t AuEq) over 0.30 m, located approximately 150 m north of IWE19-01 and IWE19-02.

On November 19, 2020, the Company announced that it had intersected high-grade mineralization 400m north of 2019 drilling results in step-out drilling at War Eagle Mountain. This zone was first identified by soil geochemistry and confirmed by drilling in 2020. The structure is largely untested and extends at least 500 m in a south-southeast direction.

War Eagle exploration drilling highlight intercepts\*:

- Drill Hole IWE-20-014
  - 24.20 g/t Au and 655.06 g/t Ag (32.63 g/t AuEq) over 7.62 m
    - Including 98.01 g/t Au and 2,782.13 g/t Ag (133.82 g/t AuEq) over 1.77 m
- Drill Hole IWE-20-016
  - 1.19 g/t Au and 11.65 g/t Ag (1.34 g/t AuEg) over 30.63 m
    - Including 8.46 g/t Au and 9.11 g/t Ag (8.57 g/t AuEq) over 1.52 m
- Drill Hole IWE-20-017
  - 21.85 g/t Au and 76.39 g/t Ag (22.84 g/t AuEq) over 1.52 m

### BlackSheep Drilling

Exploration drilling began at BlackSheep in late 2020 with one drill rig expected to operate through the winter months. Two shallow drill holes were completed at the Georgianna target in 2020 to better define the structures controlling mineralization.

On February 18, 2021, the Company announced that the initial 4 drill holes (including 3 drill holes from 2020 exploration program) from Georgianna, Milestone and Lucky Days, targets within the BlackSheep District, intersected thick sections of low-sulphidation epithermal gold-silver mineralization, including several high-grade intercepts.

Drill highlights from the Georgianna target, in the BlackSheep District, include\*:

- Drill hole IGE-20-002
  - 0.66 g/t Au and 117.25 g/t Ag (2.17 g/t AuEq) over 10.67m, including 1.48 g/t Au and 351.00 g/t Ag (5.99 g/t AuEq) over 3.05m
  - > Broader low-grade intercepts include 0.30 g/t Au and 10.87 g/t Ag (0.44 g/t AuEq) over 41.76m

The Company intersected one of the highest-grade intercepts to date from the Milestone Deposit situated at the base of the existing resource and interpreted as indicating the location of the postulated higher-grade 'feeder zone' below, highlights included:

- Drill hole IMS-20-015
  - 0.44 g/t Au and 77.60 g/t Ag (1.43 g/t AuEq) over 78.94 m
    - o Including 0.38 g/t Au and 488.00 g/t Ag (6.66 g/t AuEq) over 1.52 m;
    - o Including 0.28 g/t Au and 290.82 g/t Ag (4.02 g/t AuEq) over 1.68 m;
    - o Including 0.39 g/t Au and 288.00 g/t Ag (4.10 g/t AuEq) over 1.52 m;
    - o Including 1.12 g/t Au and 176.00 g/t Ag (3.39 g/t AuEq) over 1.83 m;
    - Including 3.11 g/t Au and 172.00 g/t Ag (5.32 g/t AuEq) over 2.59 m.



\* Downhole thickness; true width varies depending on drill hole dip; most drill holes are aimed at intersecting the vein structures close to perpendicular therefore true widths are close to downhole widths (approximately 70% conversion ratio); Intervals reported are uncapped; Gold equivalent = g Au/t + (g Ag/t ÷ 77.70); For the intervals that were previously mined / stopped and were therefore unrecoverable and unverifiable, a grade of 0 g/t Au was inserted for compositing.

# Induced Polarization ("IP") Geophysics:

A large geophysical anomaly to the west of Florida Mtn was defined with the 2020 IP Geophysical surveys in an area that has seen very limited historic drill testing. IP has proven to be a very effective tool for target generation in the DeLamar District because of the association of disseminated sulfide alteration with gold and silver mineralization. The IP data provided in the September 24, 2020 press release delineated an anomaly 1,200 m in length to the west of the NI 43-101 mineral resource estimate at Florida Mountain in an area known as Blue Gulch. This strong geophysical anomaly coincides with an arsenic ("As") and Au soil geochemistry anomaly.

The BlackSheep IP survey was completed in July 2020. The data delineated two linear zones of chargeability and coincident resistivity at Georgianna, one of those features coincides with an outcropping vein in the Georgianna Pit. At Lucky Days, the IP delineated an extensive (300 x 200m) zone of chargeability coincident with outcropping stock-work vein mineralization similar in appearance to the mineralization at DeLamar.

# Mapping and Sampling Program:

The Company announced on February 6, 2020 that soil geochemical surveys at Florida Mountain delineated a 1,400 m x 600 m high intensity Au, Ag, Arsenic ("As") and Molybdenum ("Mo") anomaly directly to the east of the existing Florida Mountain mineral resource. The size of this anomaly is comparable to the main anomaly covering the existing mineral resource at Florida Mountain and the area has limited historic drilling which together with the presence of historic workings indicate potential for both low-grade stockwork and high-grade veins in this area.

At War Eagle, a 1,000 m x 200 m Au and As anomaly was delineated approximately 300 m east of the area drill tested in late 2019. A large complex Au /As soil anomaly, approximately the size of the footprint over DeLamar, was outlined in the BlackSheep area.

A rock chip sampling program was completed over the outcropping vein zone at Georgianna and Lucky Days areas in the southern half of BlackSheep. The sampling delineated a 300 m x 100 m zone of intense stockwork vein mineralization at the Lucky Days target also in BlackSheep. Please refer to the Company's news release dated September 24, 2020 for rock sampling results.

### **DEVELOPMENT**

Other than metallurgical drilling, the development activities in 2020 were not greatly impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. The metallurgical drilling was eventually successfully completed in the calendar year 2020, with no effect on the metallurgical study timeline that will support the 2021 pre-feasibility study (the "PFS").

# Metallurgical Drilling

The Company completed a total of 2,763 m of metallurgical core drilling in 2020.

The 2020 metallurgical drill program was designed to characterize gold and silver recovery variability within the oxide and transitional mineralization at the DeLamar Project. The program sought to further optimize processing options at DeLamar and Florida Mountain, and to advance the Company's metallurgical knowledge as Integra moves toward a PFS on both Deposits in 2021.



These results underscored the potential for high grade in structures that have not been the target of significant modern exploration drilling, as well as the strong potential to increase the current mineral resource as Integra aims to push all current drill holes beyond and below the existing mineral resource boundaries.

Results of note included (as disclosed in the Company's September 24, 2020 news release) \*\*:

- o Drill Hole IDM-20-165
  - 17.45 g/t Au and 56.22 g/t Ag (18.18 g/t AuEq) over 2.29 m
- o Drill Hole IDM-20-172
  - 0.30 g/t Au and 61.30 g/t Ag (1.09 g/t AuEq) over 92.81 m

### Permitting:

The Company announced on August 20, 2020 that it had signed a Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU") with the United States Bureau of Land Management ("BLM") to facilitate the hiring of a dedicated mineral specialist in the Marsing, Idaho BLM office that will oversee future permitting work for the DeLamar Project. In accordance with the MOU, Integra will reimburse the BLM for the costs of a dedicated mineral specialist project manager in the Marsing BLM office, who shall remain at all times independent of the Company. This BLM project manager responsible for the DeLamar Project permitting work will help the BLM manage increased workloads from current and anticipated future applications for mineral notices, operations plans/amendment approvals and environmental analyses resulting from the DeLamar Project. This funding effort is intended to increase the capacity of the local BLM office to work on DeLamar Project related applications and project requests on a priority basis, while not burdening the BLM with the cost of this increased workload.

2020 saw significant efforts with respect to advancing the permitting process at the DeLamar Project. To enhance the process, Integra has maintained a focus from the outset on establishing positive partnerships with a wide selection of stakeholders. By focusing on these partnerships well in advance of submitting actionable documents to regulatory agencies, the Company intends to position itself in the best possible scenario to facilitate the permitting process in an efficient manner. Paramount to this process has been working with the BLM, the lead federal agency that the Company will engage with regarding permitting, in addition to Idaho state regulators. The MOU announced on August 20, 2020 streamlines the iterative permitting process, with the agreement allowing for an efficient communication framework between the Company and the BLM moving forward.

The ability to have initial plans reviewed for accuracy and conditionally approved by various regulatory agencies up front can add meaningful efficiencies in the permitting timeline. Being committed to transparent, straightforward, and accountable communication with stakeholders, Integra intends to facilitate a process in which the prospective mine plan being developed receives the appropriate acceptance from those stakeholders that any future development plans may impact. To this extent, actions in 2020 involving stakeholders at the regulator/agency level include:

- Acceptance and preliminary approval of the Surface Water Sampling Program by Idaho Department of Environmental Quality ("IDEQ") and the Idaho Department of Water Resources ("IDWR")"
- Acceptance and preliminary approval of the Ground Water Drilling Plan and Sampling Program by IDEQ and IDWR: and
- Acceptance and preliminary approval of both the Surface Water and Ground Water Sampling and Analysis Plans by IDEQ and IDWR.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Downhole thickness; true width varies depending on drill hole dip; most drill holes are aimed at intersecting the vein structures close to perpendicular therefore true widths are close to downhole widths (approximately 70% conversion ratio); Intervals reported are uncapped; Gold equivalent = g Au/t + (g Ag/t ÷ 77.70)



Surface water and existing groundwater well sampling collected in the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> quarters of 2020 have been completed. The first party air monitoring program contractor was selected, and the site meteorological monitoring station became operational in early August 2020.

### Metallurgical Engineering:

The 2020 engineering plans advanced steadily, building upon the concise plans outlined in the DeLamar PEA Report. Importantly, efforts were focussed on adding mineral resources into the mine plan that are within the current mineral resource estimate, but not included in the maiden PEA mine plan. While the mainstay of the 2019 PEA focussed on heap-leaching (DeLamar and Florida Mountain oxide and transitional mineralization) and milling (Florida Mountain sulfide mineralization), much of the 2020 efforts were centered in the metallurgical properties of the DeLamar sulfide material and high grade transitional material. Metallurgical test work on the DeLamar mineralization included:

- Detailed minerology;
- Sulfur and clay speciation;
- Further regrind and flotation test work;
- Albion processing test work, and other pre-oxidation methods; and
- Off-site processing of flotation concentrate at several locations in the United States and Canada.

# Pre-Feasibility Study:

Over the course of 2020, the Company undertook several pre-feasibility and environmental baseline studies at the DeLamar Project, including geotechnical drilling and test work for pit slope design and stability.

The Company announced on December 3, 2020 the engagement of Mine Development Associates, a division of RESPEC ("MDA") as the lead consultant for PFS.

The PFS is projected to take approximately 10 months to complete, with results currently expected in the 4th quarter of 2021.

#### SOCIAL AND ENVIRONMENTAL

In response to the unprecedented circumstances surrounding the COVID-19 pandemic, several initiatives were undertaken in Idaho to support the local community during these extraordinary times. In partnership with the Jordan Valley Lions Club, Integra staff conducted weekly grocery supply trips to the Boise Valley, allowing the community's elderly and at-risk population the opportunity to stay home and avoid travelling to more populated centers. In addition, and in cooperation with several Idahoan companies through an initiative titled Curds & Kindness, Integra collaborated with Idaho's dairy farmers in redirecting their excess supply of dairy products to Idaho and Oregon food banks. The excess supply stems from the lack of demand in the restaurant industry, one of the many sectors that have been severely impacted by COVID-19.

Integra continues to implement strict operational measures in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, which restrict contact between employees and limits non-essential access to the DeLamar Project site. When deemed necessary, particularly following periods of higher travel within the community such as following breaks and holidays, site-wide testing of the entire employee-base has to date effectively allowed the Company to limit COVID-19 transmission on site.

Stakeholder meetings continued throughout the year where possible, either by video conference or in socially distanced settings as the Company continues to build relationships with surrounding communities that future operations may touch.

As part as its local initiatives, the Company extended a secured US\$140,000 (C\$178,248) loan to a local business owner in August 2020 to complete the construction of a restaurant in Jordan Valley, the closest community to the DeLamar Project. This restaurant will be the sole restaurant serving the local community and the Company's employees and



contractors. Subsequent to the year end, the loan was increased by US\$35,000 (C\$44,562) – see the "Subsequent events" section. Please refer to the audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2020 for further details on the terms of the loan.

As part of Integra's ESG commitment to prioritizing environmental stewardship at every stage of the project life cycle, the Company has engaged Warm Springs Consulting of Boise, Idaho, as the consulting/engineering firm to evaluate several sustainability-driven option studies that will potentially be incorporated into the anticipated 2021 PFS.

Water treatment operations followed their regular course at the DeLamar Project, with system updates to the water treatment facility completed and in operation, allowing for more efficient water filtration and less bi-product waste creation in the process.

No material environmental or health and safety incidents were reported in 2020.

### **2021 OUTLOOK**

Although management has put in place all necessary measures to protect its employees' safety and to secure essential site activities, should the virus spread, or travel bans remain in place or should one of the Company's staff members or consultants become infected, the Company's ability to advance the DeLamar Project may be impacted. The Company continues to monitor the situation and the impact the virus may have on the DeLamar Project.

The head office of the Company (Vancouver, BC) will remain closed and employees will continue to work remotely from home until further notice.

On February 25, 2021, the Company announced the appointment of Carolyn Clark Loder to the Board.

The Company will continue its dual track strategy for 2021, consisting of exploration drilling designed to expand the mineral resource base and development study and permitting work designed to de-risk the DeLamar Project.

#### **DEVELOPMENT**

A key objective for 2021 will be to complete the PFS in the 4th quarter. To achieve this goal, extensive metallurgical test work will be carried out in the first half of the year to de-risk and improve project economics. There will also be a significant condemnation, geotechnical and groundwater drilling program in 2021. On the permitting front, surface, groundwater, geochemistry, wetlands, wildlife, aquatic, cultural and associated baseline studies, along with the management of these efforts, will extend throughout the year.

Pre-Feasibility Level Studies Aimed at Enhanced Silver Recoveries:

Several key trade-off studies are currently under-way, aimed to define the cost-benefit of higher metal recoveries, specifically silver, in future development scenarios at DeLamar.

Recent metallurgical test work since the 2019 PEA is being analyzed for opportunities to improve silver recovery. A deeper evaluation into the size sensitivity of both gold and silver recovery is underway, to be included in this year's PFS. This includes consideration of HPGR technology in the final stage of the crushing circuit for the heap leach process and/or implementation of a larger milling and agitated leach circuit for higher grade transitional mineralization, both of which would effectively produce finer crushed material with enhanced silver recovery potential.

Processing additional transitional mineralization through a mill circuit could potentially yield greater overall metal recovery, including silver, than through placement of crushed material on the leach pad.



Florida Mountain unoxidized material is processed through the existing milling scenario in the PEA. Test work has shown that the unoxidized material from Florida Mountain is amenable to gravity concentration, followed by flotation of the gravity tails, with regrinding and agitated cyanide leaching of the flotation concentrate. Mill recoveries on this material in the PEA were 90% for gold and 80% for silver with a relatively course grind size of 212 µm. The Company is completing additional test work on the DeLamar unoxidized mineralization which was not included in the PEA. This test work includes various pre-cyanidation treatments options, including fine grinding and pre-aeration, and will be reevaluated with current metal prices and better defined costs.

### **EXPLORATION**

The 2021 exploration program is expected to comprise of 10,000 m of drilling along with additional IP surveys, soil sampling and geological mapping and prospecting. The drill program will focus on the following areas:

### The Florida Mountain Deposit (4,000 m):

One of two exploration drill rigs on the Project will operate at Florida Mountain through the winter months. Drilling at Florida Mountain will be dual-focused, including follow-up exploration on the high-grade shoots and structures below the existing mineral resource and expanding the existing low-grade mineral resource through drilling geochemical and geophysical anomalies to the east and west of the existing mineral resource.

The Company has identified multiple high-grade gold-silver shoots at Florida Mountain. Integra's exploration team has modeled 7 high-grade vein structures that appear similar in size and orientation to the historically productive high-grade Trade Dollar – Black Jack vein system. Most historic underground production stemmed from the Trade Dollar – Black Jack vein, while the remaining 6 veins saw limited production up until mining operations ceased with the start of World War I. The identified vein zones have an aggregate strike length of over 7,000 m. Within these vein zones are steeply dipping high-grade shoots with strike lengths of up to 200 m and down dip extensions of up to 300 m which are interpreted as having developed at structural intersections. Based on recent drill intercepts, the Company anticipates that the high-grade shoots are likely to have widths of between 1 m and 8 m.

Drilling is also planned to take place in the Florida Keys area, a large geochemical anomaly located immediately to the east of the mineral resource that has seen limited drilling. The Florida Keys geochemical anomaly is of similar strength and size to the existing mineral resource estimate footprint at the Florida Mountain Deposit. The Company also intends to drill in Rich Gulch, a target located in a large zone of IP chargeability that was identified to the west of Florida Mountain as part of a 2020 geophysical survey. Based on limited historic drilling and the presence of historic underground workings in this area the Company sees potential for both additional low-grade and high-grade underground mineralization.

### War Eagle Mountain (2,000 m)

During the 2019 and 2020 drill programs at War Eagle, the Company intersected high-grade gold-silver mineralization within the volcanic unit overlying the entire area. In 2020, the Company identified a second high-grade shoot 400 m to the north of the 2019 drill holes. This second structure is interpreted over a strike length of approximately 550 m south-southeast and is largely untested. The geochemical soil anomaly that led the Company to this new structure is interpreted as being lateral leakage outward along the base of the latite flow, presumably emanating from the eastern most structure identified in the 2020 drill program.

Drilling in 2021 will continue to test these parallel structures at War Eagle. In addition, the Company plans on completing a detailed IP program to generate targets within a large geochemical anomaly to the east of the 2019 and 2020 drill holes locations.



BlackSheep and DeLamar (Henrietta Ridge) (4,000 m):

Exploration drilling at BlackSheep is underway with one drill rig expected to operate through the winter months. The drill campaign at BlackSheep will focus on the Georgianna and Lucky Days targets. BlackSheep is host to extensive areas of sinter and opaline silica cut by high-level epithermal veining and brecciation. Due to the shallow level of erosion at BlackSheep, very limited exploration drilling completed by previous operators was shown to be too shallow to properly evaluate the potential for high-grade vein style mineralization.

Two shallow drill holes have been completed at the Georgianna target to better define the structures controlling mineralization. Deeper, follow-up drill holes are planned at the Georgianna target for this year to test the productive zone at approximately 200 m below the current surface.

The Company also plans on drilling the Henrietta Ridge target in 2021. Henrietta Ridge is located between the DeLamar Deposit and the BlackSheep area. Historic drilling completed by previous operators along with geophysical surveys suggest mineralization from the DeLamar Deposit extends along a northwest corridor from the current mineral resource through Henrietta Ridge.

#### **PROPERTIES**

# 1. DeLamar Project, Idaho

The DeLamar Project consists of the neighboring DeLamar Deposit and Florida Mountain Deposit.

The bulk of the information in this section is derived from the Technical Report and Preliminary Economic Assessment for the DeLamar and Florida Mountain Gold – Silver Project, dated October 22, 2019 (the "Report" or the "PEA"). The DeLamar Report is available for review under the Company's issuer profile on SEDAR at <a href="https://www.sedar.com">www.sedar.com</a>.

**Project Description, Location and Ownership** 

The DeLamar project consists of 748 unpatented lode, placer, and millsite claims, and 16 tax parcels comprised of patented mining claims, as well as certain leasehold and easement interests, that cover approximately 8,100 hectares in southwestern Idaho, about 80 kilometers southwest of Boise. The property is approximately centered at 43° 00′ 48 ″ N, 116° 47′ 35″ W, within portions of the historical Carson (Silver City) mining district, and it includes the formerly producing DeLamar mine last operated by Kinross. The total annual land-holding costs are estimated to be US\$321,626. All mineral titles and permits are held by the DeLamar Mining Company ("DMC"), an indirect, 100% wholly owned subsidiary of Integra that was acquired from Kinross through a Stock Purchase Agreement in 2017.

A total of 284 of the unpatented claims were acquired from Kinross, 101 of which are subject to a 2.0% net smelter returns royalty ("NSR") payable to a predecessor owner. This royalty is not applicable to the current project mineral resources.

There are also six lease agreements covering 26 patented claims and one unpatented claim that require NSR payments ranging from 2.5% to 5.0%. One of these leases covers a small portion of the DeLamar area resources and one covers



a small portion of the Florida Mountain area resources, with 5.0% and 2.5% NSRs applicable to maximums of US\$50,000 and US\$650,000 in royalty payments, respectively.

The property includes 1,355 hectares under six leases from the State of Idaho, which are subject to a 5.0% production royalty of gross receipts plus annual payments of US\$23,252. One of these leases has been issued and five are pending issuance. The State of Idaho leases include very small portions of both the DeLamar and Florida Mountain resources.

Kinross has retained a 2.5% NSR royalty that applies to those portions of the DeLamar area claims that are unencumbered by the royalties outlined above (the "Kinross Royalty"). The Kinross Royalty was subsequently purchased by Maverix Metals Inc ("Maverix") on December 19, 2019. The Maverix royalty applies to more than 90% of the current DeLamar area resources, but this royalty will be reduced to 1.0% upon Maverix receiving total royalty payments of C\$10,000,000.

DMC also owns mining claims and leased lands peripheral to the DeLamar project described above. These landholdings are not part of the DeLamar project, although some of the lands are contiguous with those of the DeLamar and Florida Mountain claims and state leases.

The DeLamar project historical open-pit mine areas have been in closure since 2003. Even though a substantial amount of reclamation and closure work has been completed to date at the site, there remain ongoing water-management activities and monitoring and reporting. A reclamation bond of US\$2,867,629 remains with the Idaho Department of Lands ("IDL") and a reclamation bond of US\$100,000 remains with the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality. A reclamation bond in the amount of US\$569,500 has been placed with the U.S. Bureau of Land Management ("BLM") for exploration activities on public lands.

### **Exploration and Mining History**

Total production of gold and silver from the DeLamar – Florida Mountain project area is estimated to be approximately 1.3 million ounces of gold and 70 million ounces of silver from 1891 through 1998, with an unknown quantity produced at the DeLamar mill in 1999, and recorded production may have occurred from 1876 to 1891. This includes an estimated 1.025 million ounces of gold and 51 million ounces of silver produced from the original De Lamar underground mine and the later DeLamar open-pit operations. At Florida Mountain, nearly 260,000 ounces of gold and 18 million ounces of silver were produced from the historical underground mines and late 1990s open-pit mining.

Mining activity began in the area of the DeLamar project when placer gold deposits were discovered in 1863 in Jordan Creek, just upstream from what later became the town site of De Lamar. During the summer of 1863, the first silvergold lodes were discovered in quartz veins at War Eagle Mountain, to the east of Florida Mountain, resulting in the initial settlement of Silver City. Between 1876 and 1888, significant silver-gold veins were discovered and developed in the district, including underground mines at De Lamar Mountain and Florida Mountain. A total of 553,000 ounces of gold and 21.3 million ounces of silver were reportedly produced from the De Lamar and Florida Mountain underground mines from the late 1800s to early 1900s.

The mines in the district were closed in 1914 and very little production took place until the gold and silver prices increased in the 1930s. Placer gold was again recovered from Jordan Creek from 1934 to 1940, and in 1938 a 181 tonne-per-day flotation mill was constructed to process waste dumps from the De Lamar underground mine. The flotation mill reportedly operated until the end of 1942. Including Florida Mountain, the De Lamar – Silver City area is believed to have produced about 1 million ounces of gold and 25 million ounces of silver from 1863 through 1942.

During the late 1960s, the district began to undergo exploration for near-surface bulk-mineable gold-silver deposits, and in 1977 a joint venture operated by Earth Resources Company ("Earth Resources") began production from an open-pit milling and cyanide tank-leach operation at De Lamar Mountain, known as the DeLamar mine. In 1981, Earth Resources was acquired by the Mid Atlantic Petroleum Company ("MAPCO"), and in 1984 and 1985 the NERCO Mineral Company ("NERCO") successively acquired the MAPCO interest and the entire joint venture to operate the DeLamar mine with



100% ownership. NERCO was purchased by the Kennecott Copper Company ("Kennecott") in 1993. Two months later in 1993, Kennecott sold its 100% interest in the DeLamar mine and property to Kinross, and Kinross operated the mine, which expanded to the Florida Mountain area in 1994. Mining ceased in 1998, milling ceased in 1999, and mine closure activities commenced in 2003. Closure and reclamation were nearly completed by 2014, as the mill and other mine buildings were removed and drainage and cover of the tailings facility were developed.

Total open-pit production from the DeLamar project from 1977 through 1998, including the Florida Mountain operation, is estimated at approximately 750,000 ounces of gold and 47.6 million ounces of silver, with an unknown quantity produced at the DeLamar mill in 1999. From start-up in 1977 through to the end of 1998, open-pit production in the DeLamar area totaled 625,000 ounces of gold and about 45 million ounces of silver. This production came from pits developed at the Glen Silver, Sommercamp – Regan (including North and South Wahl), and North DeLamar areas. In 1993, the DeLamar mine was operating at a mining rate of 27,216 tonnes per day, with a milling capacity of about 3,629 tonnes per day. In 1994, Kinross commenced open-pit mining at Florida Mountain while continuing production from the DeLamar mine. The ore from Florida Mountain, which was mined through 1998, was processed at the DeLamar facilities. Florida Mountain production in 1994 through 1998 totaled 124,500 ounces of gold and 2.6 million ounces of silver.

# **Geological and Mineralization**

The DeLamar project is situated in the Owyhee Mountains near the east margin of the mid-Miocene Columbia River – Steens flood-basalt province and the west margin of the Snake River Plain. The Owyhee Mountains comprise a major mid-Miocene eruptive center, generally composed of mid-Miocene basalt flows intruded and overlain by mid-Miocene rhyolite dikes, domes, flows and tuffs, developed on an eroded surface of Late Cretaceous granitic rocks.

The DeLamar mine area and mineralized zones are situated within an arcuate, nearly circular array of overlapping porphyritic and flow-banded rhyolite flows and domes that overlie cogenetic, precursor pyroclastic deposits erupted as local tuff rings. Integra interprets the porphyritic and banded rhyolite flows and latites as composite flow domes and dikes emplaced along regional-scale northwest-trending structures. At Florida Mountain, flow-banded rhyolite flows and domes cut through and overlie a tuff breccia unit that overlies basaltic lava flows and Late Cretaceous granitic rocks.

Gold-silver mineralization occurred as two distinct but related types: (i) relatively continuous, quartz-filled fissure veins that were the focus of late 19th and early 20th century underground mining, hosted mainly in the basalt and granodiorite and to a lesser degree in the overlying felsic volcanic units; and (ii) broader, bulk-mineable zones of closely-spaced quartz veinlets and quartz-cemented hydrothermal breccia veins that are individually continuous for only a few feet laterally and vertically, and of mainly less than 1.3 centimeters in width – predominantly hosted in the rhyolites and latites peripheral to and above the quartz-filled fissures. This second style of mineralization was mined in the open pits of the late 20th century DeLamar and Florida Mountain operations, hosted primarily by the felsic volcanic units.

The fissure veins mainly strike north to northwest and are filled with quartz accompanied by variable amounts of adularia, sericite or clay,  $\pm$  minor calcite. Vein widths vary from a few centimeters to several meters, but the veins persist laterally and vertically for as much as several hundreds of meters. Principal silver and gold minerals are naumannite, aguilarite, argentite, ruby silver, native gold and electrum, native silver, cerargyrite, and acanthite. Variable amounts of pyrite and marcasite with very minor chalcopyrite, sphalerite, and galena occur in some veins. Gold- and silver-bearing minerals are generally very fine grained.

The gold and silver mineralization at the DeLamar project is best interpreted in the context of the volcanic-hosted, low-sulfidation type of epithermal model. Various vein textures, mineralization, alteration features, and the low contents of base metals in the district are typical of shallow low-sulfidation epithermal deposits worldwide.

### **Drilling, Database and Data Verification**

As of the effective date of this report, the resource database includes data from 2,718 holes, for a total of 306,078 meters, that were drilled by Integra and various historical operators at the DeLamar and Florida Mountain areas. The



historical drilling was completed from 1966 to 1998 and includes 2,625 holes for a total of 275,790 meters of drilling. Most of the historical drilling was done using reverse-circulation ("RC") and conventional rotary methods; a total of 106 historical holes were drilled using diamond-core ("core") methods for a total of 10,845 meters. Approximately 74% of the historical drilling was vertical, including all historical conventional rotary holes.

Integra commenced drilling in 2018. As of the end of April 2019, Integra had drilled a total of 55 RC holes, 36 core holes, and 11 holes commenced with RC and finished with core tails, for a total of 33,573 meters in the DeLamar and Florida Mountain areas combined. All but one of the Integra holes were angled.

The historical portions of the current resource drill-hole databases for the DeLamar and Florida Mountain deposit areas were originally created by MDA using original DeLamar mine digital database files, and this information was subjected to various verification measures by both MDA and Integra. The Integra portion of the drill-hole databases was directly created by MDA using original digital analytical certificates in the case of the assay tables, or it was checked against original digital records in the case of the collar and down-hole deviation tables. Through these and other verification procedures summarized herein, the authors have verified that the DeLamar data as a whole are acceptable as used in this report.

# **Metallurgical Testing**

Available results from ongoing metallurgical testing by Integra, at McClelland Laboratories (2018-2019) have been used to select preferred processing methods and estimate recoveries for oxide and transitional material types from both the DeLamar and Florida Mountain deposits, as well as unoxidized (sulfide) material type from the Florida Mountain deposit. Metallurgical testing has also been conducted on unoxidized (sulfide) material from the DeLamar deposit, but that testing has not yet progressed to the level required for processing of that material to be included in the PEA.

Samples used for this 2018-2019 testing, primarily composites of 2018 and 2019 drill core, were selected to represent the various material types contained in the current resources from both the DeLamar and Florida Mountain deposits. Composites were selected to evaluate effects of area, depth, grade, oxidation, lithology, and alteration on metallurgical response. In general, test results indicate that materials from each of the DeLamar and Florida Mountain deposits can most usefully be evaluated by considering the oxidation state (oxidized, transitional, or unoxidized).

Bottle-roll and column-leach cyanidation testing on drill core composites from both the DeLamar and Florida Mountain deposits and on bulk samples from the DeLamar deposit have shown that the oxide and transitional material types from both deposits can be processed by heap-leach cyanidation. Testing on drill core composites from the Florida Mountain deposit has shown that the unoxidized material from that deposit is not amenable to heap leach cyanidation but can be leached using cyanide after grinding. The Florida Mountain unoxidized material also responds well to bulk sulfide flotation treatment, and the resulting flotation concentrate is amenable to agitated cyanide leaching. Highest recoveries from the Florida Mountain unoxidized material were obtained by grinding, followed by gravity concentration and flotation of the gravity tailings, with regrind and agitated cyanidation of the flotation concentrate.

Available metallurgical test results indicate that gold recoveries in the range of 75% to 80%, and silver recoveries of about 30%, can be expected from the DeLamar oxide and transitional material types, by heap leaching at a crush size of 80% -13mm. Agglomeration pretreatment of this material is currently planned, because of the potential for processing of some materials with elevated clay content. Heap leach cyanide consumptions are expected to be reasonably low (about 0.3 - 0.4 kg NaCN/tonne).

In the case of the Florida Mountain oxide and transitional material types, gold recoveries of 85% to 90%, and silver recoveries of about 40%, are expected for heap leaching at an 80% -38mm feed size. Agglomeration pretreatment is not considered to be necessary for these material types. Heap leach cyanide consumptions are expected to be reasonably low (about 0.4 kg NaCN/tonne).



Planned processing of the Florida Mountain unoxidized material type includes grinding, followed by gravity concentration and flotation of the gravity tailings, with regrind and agitated cyanidation of the flotation concentrate. Expected recoveries are about 90% gold and 80% silver. Cyanide consumption for the concentrate leaching is expected to be equivalent to about 0.2 kg NaCN/tonne, on a mill feed basis.

In the case of the unoxidized material from the DeLamar deposit, 2018-2019 testing has shown that this material type is not amenable to heap-leach cyanidation and is highly variable with respect to response to grinding followed by agitated cyanidation. Reasons for the generally poor and highly variable grind-leach recoveries from this material type are poorly understood at present. Additional testing and mineralogy studies are in progress to gain a better understanding of the observed variability in recoveries. Further testing is also planned to better define what portion of the DeLamar unoxidized material type might be economically processed by simple grind-leach processing. Metallurgical testing has also shown that the DeLamar unoxidized material generally responds well to upgrading by gravity and flotation processing. Testing to evaluate subsequent processing of the resulting concentrate is in progress, but has not been completed as of the effective date of this report. It is expected that flotation concentrate produced from a significant portion of the DeLamar unoxidized materials will not be amenable to agitated leach (cyanidation). It is expected that for these flotation concentrates, some form of oxidative pre-treatment (such as pressure oxidation or roasting) will be required to maximize gold recovery by cyanidation. Alternatively, these concentrates could be shipped off site for toll processing.

### **Mineral Resources**

Mineral resources have been estimated for both the Florida Mountain and DeLamar areas of the DeLamar project. The gold and silver resources were modeled and estimated by:

- evaluating the drill data statistically;
- creating low- (domain 100), medium- (domain 200) and high-grade (domain 300) mineral-domain polygons for both gold and silver on sets of cross sections spaced at 30-meter intervals;
- projecting the sectional mineral-domain polygons three-dimensionally to the drill data within each sectional window;
- slicing the three-dimensional mineral-domain polygons along 6-meter-spaced horizontal and vertical planes and using these slices to recreate the gold and silver mineral-domain polygons on level plans and long sections, respectively:
- coding a block model to the gold and silver domains for each of the two deposit areas using the level-plan and long-section mineral-domain polygons;
- analyzing the modeled mineralization geostatistically to aid in the establishment of estimation and classification parameters; and
- using inverse-distance to the third power to interpolate grades into models comprised of 6x6x6-meter blocks using the gold and silver mineral domains to explicitly constrain the grade estimations.

Parameters used in the estimation of gold and silver grades are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1 - Summary of DeLamar Area Grade Estimation Parameters

Fatimation Base Au + Ag Damain	Sear	ch Ranges (me	Composite Constraints			
Estimation Pass – Au + Ag Domain	Major	Semi-Major	Minor	Min	Max	Max/Hole
Pass 1 + 2 - Doman 100	60	60	30	2	12	4
Pass 1 + 2 - Doman 200 + 300 + 0	60	60	30	2	20	4
Pass 3 - Doman 0 + 100 + 200 +300	170	170	170	1	20	4



### **Restrictions on Search Ranges**

Domain	Search Restriction Threshold	Search Restriction Distance	Estimation Pass
Au 100	>0.7 g Au/t	40 meters	1, 2
Au 300	>20 g Au/t	35 meters	1, 2, 3
Ag 300	>400 g Ag/t	35 meters	1, 2, 3
Au 0	>0.5 g Au/t	6 meters	1, 2, 3
Ag 0	>34.29 g Ag/t	9 meters	1, 2, 3

The estimation passes were performed independently for each of the mineral domains, so that only composites coded to a particular domain were used to estimate grade into blocks coded by that domain. The estimated grades for each gold and silver domain coded to a block were then coupled with the partial percentages of those mineral domains in the block, as well as the outside, dilutionary, domain 0 grades and volumes, to enable the calculation of a single volume-averaged gold and a single volume-averaged silver grade for each block. These single resource block grades, and their associated resource tonnages, are therefore fully block-diluted using this methodology.

The search restrictions place limits on the maximum distances from a block that high-grade population composites can be 'found' and used in the interpolation of gold and/or silver grades into a block. To further avoid the smearing of outlier high grades that are sporadically present in the low-grade gold and silver domains, the maximum number of composites allowed for the estimation in Pass 1 and Pass 2 are less than that used for the higher-grade interpolations.

The gold and silver mineralization commonly exhibits multiple orientations, which led to the use of a number of search orientations to control both the DeLamar and Florida Mountain estimations.

Grade interpolation was completed using length-weighted 3.05-meter (10-foot) composites. The estimation passes were performed independently for each of the mineral domains, so that only composites coded to a particular domain were used to estimate grade into blocks coded to that domain. Blocks coded as having partial percentages of more than one gold and/or silver domain had multiple grade interpolations, one for each domain coded into the block for each metal. The estimated grades for each gold and silver domain coded to a block were coupled with the partial percentages of those mineral domains in the block, as well as any outside, dilutionary, domain 0 grades and volumes, to enable the calculation of a single volume-averaged gold and a single volume-averaged silver grade for each block. These single final resource block grades, and their associated resource tonnages, are therefore fully block-diluted using this methodology.

The DeLamar project mineral resources have been estimated to reflect potential open-pit extraction and processing by a combination of heap leaching, milling / agitated leaching, and flotation. To meet the requirement of the in-pit resources having reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction, pit optimizations for the DeLamar and Florida Mountain deposit areas were run using the parameters summarized in Table 2 and Table 3.



Table 2 - Pit Optimization Cost Parameters (US\$)

Parameter	ı	DeLamar	FI	orida Mtn	Unit
Mining Cost	s	2.20	\$	2.20	\$/tonne mined
Heap Leach Processing	s	3.35	\$	3.35	\$/tonne processed
Mill / Agitated Leach Processing	s		s	10.00	\$/tonne processed
Flotation Processing	s	12.00	\$		\$/tonne processed
G&A Cost	s	4,000	\$	4,000	\$1,000s/year
Tonnes per Day		15,000		15,000	tonnes-per-day processed
Tonnes per Year		5,250		5,250	1000s tonnes-per-year processed
G&A per Ton	s	0.76	\$	0.76	\$/tonne processed
Au Price	s	1,400	\$	1,400	\$/oz produced
Ag Price	s	18	S	18	\$/oz produced
Au Refining Cost	\$	5.00	\$	5.00	\$/oz produced
Ag Refining Cost	s	0.50	\$	0.50	\$/oz produced
NSR Royalty		1%		0%	

Table 3 - Pit-Optimization Metal Recoveries by Deposit and Oxidation State

	DeLamar			Florida Mountain			
Process Type	Oxidized	Transitional	Unoxidized	Oxidized	Transitional	Unoxidized	
Leach Recovery - Au	85%	80%	-	85%	80%	-	
Leach Recovery - Ag	45%	40%	-	45%	40%	-	
Mill/Leach Recovery - Au	-	-	-	-	-	86%	
Mill/Leach Recovery - Ag	-	-	-	-	-	63%	
Flotation Recovery - Au	-	-	90%	-	-	-	
Flotation Recovery - Ag	-	-	95%	-	-	-	

The pit shells created using these optimization parameters were applied to constrain the project resources of both the DeLamar and Florida Mountain deposit areas. The in-pit resources were further constrained by the application of a gold-equivalent cutoff of 0.2 g/t to all model blocks lying within the optimized pits that are coded as oxidized or transitional, and 0.3 g/t for blocks coded as unoxidized. Gold equivalency, as used in the application of the resource cutoffs, is a function of metal prices (Table 2) and metal recoveries, with the recoveries varying by deposit and oxidation state (Table 3).

The total DeLamar project resources, which include the resources for both the DeLamar and Florida Mountain areas, are summarized in Table 4. Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability.

Table 4 - Total DeLamar Project Gold and Silver Resources

Classification	Tonnes	g Au/t	oz Au	g Ag/t	oz Ag
Measured	16,078,000	0.52	270,000	34.3	17,726,000
Indicated	156,287,000	0.42	2,106,000	19.7	98,788,000
Measured + Indicated	172,365,000	0.43	2,376,000	21.0	116,514,000
Inferred	28,266,000	0.38	343,000	13.5	12,240,000

- 1. Mineral Resources are comprised of all oxidized and transitional model blocks at a 0.2 g AuEq/t cutoff and all unoxidized blocks at a 0.3 g AuEq/t that lie within optimized pits
- 2. The effective date of the resource estimations is May 1, 2019
- 3. Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability
- 4. Rounding may result in apparent discrepancies between tonnes, grade, and contained metal content

The gold and silver resources for the DeLamar and Florida Mountain areas are reported separately in Table 5 and Table 6, respectively.

Table 5 - DeLamar Deposit Gold and Silver Resources

Classification	Tonnes	g Au/t	oz Au	g Ag/t	oz Ag
Measured	14,481,000	0.51	238,000	36.4	16,942,000
Indicated	105,140,000	0.39	1,334,000	23.4	79,241,000
Measured + Indicated	119,621,000	0.41	1,572,000	25.1	96,183,000
Inferred	21,291,000	0.39	266,000	15.2	10,418,000

- 1. Mineral Resources are comprised of all oxidized and transitional model blocks at a 0.2 g AuEq/t cutoff and all unoxidized blocks at a 0.3 g AuEq/t that lie within optimized pits
- 2. The effective date of the resource estimations is May 1, 2019
- 3. Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability
- 4. Rounding may result in apparent discrepancies between tonnes, grade, and contained metal content

Table 6 - Florida Mountain Deposit Gold and Silver Resources

Classification	Tonnes	g Au/t	oz Au	g Ag/t	oz Ag
Measured	1,597,000	0.63	32,000	15.3	784,000
Indicated	51,147,000	0.47	772,000	11.9	19,547,000
Measured + Indicated	52,744,000	0.47	804,000	12.0	20,331,000
Inferred	6,975,000	0.34	77,000	8.1	1,822,000

- 1. Mineral Resources are comprised of all oxidized and transitional model blocks at a 0.2 g AuEq/t cutoff and all unoxidized blocks at a 0.3 g AuEq/t that lie within optimized pits
- 2. The effective date of the resource estimations is May 1, 2019
- 3. Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability
- 4. Rounding may result in apparent discrepancies between tonnes, grade, and contained metal content

### **Mining Methods**

The PEA considers open-pit mining of the DeLamar and Florida Mountain gold-silver deposits. Note that a PEA is preliminary in nature and includes Inferred mineral resources that are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied that would enable them to be classified as mineral reserves. There is no certainty that the economic results of the PEA will be realized.

The methodology used for mine planning to define the economics for the PEA includes definition of economic parameters, pit optimization, creation of pit and waste rock facility designs, creation of production schedules, definition



of personnel and equipment requirements, estimation of capital and operating costs, and performance of an economic analysis.

Pit optimization assumed processing of Florida Mountain and DeLamar oxide and transition resources as heap leach, and unoxidized Florida Mountain resources as milled using floatation followed by cyanidation of the concentrates on site. Leach material would be processed at 27,000 tonnes per day and mill material would be processed at 2,000 tonnes per day. Processing of the DeLamar material will require crushing and agglomeration prior to heap leaching.

The resulting pit optimizations were used as the basis for pit designs. The designs used an inner-ramp slope of 45°. DeLamar pit designs utilized five pit phases to establish a mining sequence and Florida Mountain pit designs were completed using three pit phases.

Waste management facility designs were created for the PEA to contain the waste material mined from both the DeLamar and Florida Mountain areas. Some waste material may also be stored in the form of backfill where and when space is available, although this has not been assumed for the PEA and therefore this is a potential opportunity for the project.

Production scheduling was completed with leaching starting with Florida Mountain material and DeLamar leach material being processed starting in year 5 at the same rate as Florida Mountain leach material. Florida Mountain unoxidized material will be stockpiled until the flotation mill is constructed. The start of the 2,000 tonne per day mill will be in year 3 and it will operate at a rate of 720,000 tonnes per year until unoxidized material is exhausted.

The total project mining rate is given a reasonable ramp-up that starts at 2,000 tonnes per day and increases to a life-of-mine maximum of 90,000 tonnes per day in later years.

The PEA has assumed owner mining in order to keep operating costs lower than it would be with contract mining. The production schedule was used along with additional efficiency factors, cycle times, and productivity rates to develop the first-principle hours required for primary mining equipment to achieve the production schedule. Mining anticipates 136-tonne capacity haul trucks loaded by hydraulic shovels. Personnel requirements have been estimated based on the number of people required to operate, supervise, maintain, and plan for operations to achieve the production schedule.

# **Processing and Recovery Methods**

The PEA envisions the use of two process methods for the recovery of gold and silver:

- 1) Lower-grade oxide and transition materials from both DeLamar and Florida Mountain will be processed by crushed-ore cyanide heap leaching with stacking on a central heap leach by conveyor, followed by Merrill-Crowe zinc precipitation.
- 2) Higher-grade unoxidized material from Florida Mountain will be processed using grinding followed by gravity and flotation concentration, with the concentrates processed by regrinding, agitated-cyanide leaching, countercurrent decantation ("CCD"), and Merrill-Crowe zinc precipitation. Flotation tailings will be thickened, filtered, and dry stacked at the tailings storage facility. Concentrate-leach tailings will be added to the heap-leach circuit for further recovery of gold and silver.

Both Florida Mountain and DeLamar oxide and transition ore types have been shown to be amenable to heap-leach processing following crushing. Material will be crushed in two stages to a nominal 100 millimeter size at a rate of 27,000 tonnes per day. Initially, for the Florida Mountain materials, the product of the secondary circuit will be a nominal size of 38 millimeters. Transitioning to DeLamar ore types will require the addition of a tertiary crushing circuit with tertiary screens and cone crushers operating in closed circuit to produce a nominal 13-millimeter product followed by cement agglomeration. Lime will be added to the crushed ore for pH control at a dosage of 1 kilogram/tonne. Cement will be added at 3 kilograms/tonne for agglomeration as required.



Crushed and prepared ore will be transferred to the heap-leach pad using overland conveyors and stacked on the heap using portable or grasshopper conveyors and a radial stacking system. Leach solution will be collected at the base on the heap leach and transferred to the Merrill-Crowe processing plant for recovery of precious metals by zinc precipitation. The zinc precipitate will be filtered, dried, and smelted to produce a precious metal doré product for shipment off site.

Gold and silver recoveries are expected to be 90% and 40%, respectively, for the Florida Mountain oxide heap-leach material. The DeLamar oxide recoveries used in this study are 80% for gold and 30% for silver. Cyanide consumptions for the oxide ore types are 0.4 kilograms/tonne and 0.3 kilograms/tonne for Florida Mountain and DeLamar, respectively.

Transition material gold recoveries are projected to be 85% for Florida Mountain and 75% for DeLamar. Silver recoveries for the transition material are projected to be 40% and 30% for Florida Mountain and DeLamar, respectively. Projected cyanide consumption is 0.4 kilograms per tonne for both the Florida Mountain and DeLamar transition material types.

Higher-grade Florida Mountain unoxidized material will be processed by crushing, gravity, and flotation concentration, followed by cyanide leaching of the concentrates using CCD and Merrill-Crowe precipitation. This circuit is scheduled to operate at a nominal production rate of 2,000 tonnes per day. For this process, the final crusher product will have a nominal particle size of 6 millimeters and will be fed to the ball mill via two belt feeders at a nominal ore production rate of 88 tonnes per hour. The ball mill discharge will be pumped to a set of two hydrocyclones, one operating and one standby, with the cyclone overflow reporting to the flotation conditioning tank. The cyclone underflow will report to a centrifugal gravity concentrator. Concentrator rejects then reports back to the ball mill for additional grinding. The gravity concentrate will report to the concentrate regrind mill for subsequent processing in the leach circuit.

The flotation feed from the conditioning tank will report to the flotation circuit for sulfide concentration. The flotation concentrate will report to a regrind circuit where it will be ground to a nominal 37 µm before being leached in a conventional leach tank and CCD circuit. The flotation tailings are to be thickened and filtered with the filter cake reporting to the dry stacked tailings storage facility.

Leach solid residue and the pregnant leach solution are separated in the CCD circuit. The pregnant leach solution will report to the heap leach Merrill-Crowe circuit where it will be processed using zinc precipitation for the recovery of gold and silver. The leached residue will be thickened to 60% solids and added to the heap leach material before it is stacked on the heap, thus allowing for additional processing and mitigating the need for a cyanide-rated tailings storage facility.

Recoveries from the Florida Mountain milling/concentrator circuit are expected to be 90% for gold and 80% for silver. Sodium cyanide and lime consumptions are both expected at 0.2 kilograms per tonne of material feed.

### **Capital and Operating Costs**

Table 7 summarizes the estimated life-of-mine ("LOM") capital costs for the project. The LOM total capital costs are estimated at US\$270.3 million, including US\$161.0 million in preproduction capital (including working capital) and US\$109.3 million for sustaining capital (which includes US\$20.0 million in reclamation costs).

Table 8 shows the estimated LOM operating costs for the project, which are estimated to be US\$7.82 per tonne processed. This includes mining costs which are estimated to be US\$2.00 per tonne mined. The total cash cost is estimated to be US\$619 per ounce of gold equivalent and all-in sustaining costs are estimated to be US\$742 per ounce of gold equivalent. See "Non-GAAP Measures" disclosure at the end of this MD&A.



# **MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS**

For the Years Ended December 31, 2020 and 2019

# **Table 7 Capital Cost Summary (US\$)**

			9	Sustaining		Total
Mine	Pr	e-Production (1)	Yr	1 to Yr 10 (1)		LOM (1)
Mining Equipment	\$	32,980	\$	52,014	\$	84,994
Pre-Stripping	\$	7,514	\$	-	\$	7,514
Other Mine Capital	\$	6,027	\$	746	\$	6,773
Sub-Total Mine	\$	46,521	\$	52,760	\$	99,281
Processing						
Heap Leach Pad	\$	14,130	\$	19,178	\$	33,308
Heap leach Plant (Incl Crushing and Stacking)	\$	48,449	\$	-	\$	48,449
Heap leach: Agglomeration / Crushing (DeLamar Ore)	\$	-	\$	20,518	\$	20,518
Florida Mill: Plant	\$	-	\$	34,354	\$	34,354
Florida Mill: Dry Stack Tailings	\$	-	\$	6,990	\$	6,990
Sub-Total Processing	\$	62,579	\$	81,039	\$	143,618
Infrastructure						
Power	\$	21,714	\$		\$	21,714
Assay Lab	\$	2,804	\$	-	\$	2,804
Other	\$	2,552	\$	974	\$	3,526
Sub-Total Infrastructure	\$	27,070	\$	974	\$	28,044
Owner's Costs	\$	5,819	\$	-	\$	5,819
SUB-TOTAL	\$	141,989	\$	134,773	\$	276,761
Other						
Working Capital <sub>(2)</sub>	\$	13,024	\$	(13,024)	\$	-
Cash Deposit for Reclamation Bonding	\$	6,000	\$	(6,000)	Ś	_
		-	\$	(26,426)	\$	(26,426)
Salvage Value	ı		Ş	(20,420)	٧	(20,420)
Salvage Value <sub>(4)</sub>			_		_	
Salvage Value <sub>(4)</sub>		161,013	\$	89,323	\$	250,336
	\$	161,013	<b>\$</b>	<b>89,323</b> 20,000	<b>\$</b> \$	<b>250,336</b> 20,000

- Capital costs include contingency and EPCM costs; Working capital is returned in year 11;
- (1) (2)
- Cash deposit = 30% of bonding requirement. Released once reclamation is completed;
- (3) (4) Salvage value for mining equipment and plant; and
- Reclamation costs listed here are treated as operating costs in the economic evaluation.

# Table 8 Operating and Total Cost Summary (US\$)

	USD / Tonne			ne
LOM Operating Costs		Mined	Pr	ocessed
Mining	\$	2.00	\$	4.18
Processing			\$	3.08
G&A			\$	0.55
Total Site Costs			\$	7.82

LOM Cash Costs and All-in Sustaining Costs	Ву	Product (1)	Co-	Product (2)
Mining	\$	380	\$	317
Processing	\$	280	\$	233
G&A	\$	50	\$	42
Total Site Costs	\$	711	\$	592
Transport & Refining	\$	13	\$	11
Royalties	\$	17	\$	14
Total Cash Costs	\$	741	\$	617
Silver By-Product Credits	\$	(272)	\$	-
Total Cash Costs Net of Silver by-Product	\$	469	\$	617
Sustaining Capital	\$	131	\$	109
Reclamation	\$	19	\$	16
All-in Sustaining Costs	\$	619	\$	742

- By-Product costs are shown as US dollars per gold ounces sold with silver as a credit; and
- Co-Product costs are shown as US dollars per gold equivalent ounce.

# **Preliminary Economic Analysis**

MDA has prepared this PEA for the DeLamar mining project, which includes operations at both the DeLamar and Florida Mountain deposits. A summary of the PEA results is shown in Table 9.



# **Table 9 Preliminary Economic Analysis Summary**

After-tax NPV (5%)	K USD	\$357,572
After-tax NPV (8%)	K USD	\$284,448
After-tax NPV (10%)	K USD	\$244,454
After-tax IRR	%	43%
After-Tax Payback Period	Years	2.35

Note that a preliminary economic assessment is preliminary in nature and it includes Inferred mineral resources that are considered too speculative geologically to have the economic considerations applied that would enable them to be classified as mineral reserves. There is no certainty that the PEA will be realized. Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability.

Some economic highlights include:

- Initial construction period is anticipated to be 18 months;
- After-tax net present value ("NPV") (5%) of US\$358 million with a 43% after-tax internal rate of return ("IRR") using US\$1,350 and US\$16.90 per ounce gold and silver prices, respectively;
- After-tax payback period of 2.35 years;
- Year 2 to 6 gold equivalent production of 148,000 ounces (126,000 oz Au and 1,796,000 oz Ag); and
- Year 1 to 10 gold equivalent average production of 124,000 ounces (103,000 oz Au and 1,660,000 oz Ag);
- After-tax LOM cumulative cash flows of US\$528 million; and
- Average annual after-tax free cash flow of US\$61 million once in production.

# 2. BlackSheep District, Idaho

On February 14, 2019, Integra announced the acquisition of a highly prospective trend of multiple epithermal centers 6 km to the northwest of the DeLamar Project, a trend now referred to as the BlackSheep District ("BlackSheep" or the "District"). The District was identified in part during site visits and research by renowned epithermal geologists Dr. Jeff Hedenquist and Dr. Richard Sillitoe. Dr. Sillitoe and Dr. Hedenquist, along with Integra's exploration team led by Dr. Max Baker, mapped the area and interpreted the District to have undergone very limited erosion since the mid-Miocene mineralization event, suggesting the productive zone of mineralization is potentially located approximately 200 m beneath the surface. Minimal historical exploration did encounter gold-silver in BlackSheep; however, historic drilling was shallow, less than 100 m vertical on average, and did not enter the theorized productive zone.

The BlackSheep District to the northwest of DeLamar is comparable in geographical size to both the DeLamar and Florida Mountain Deposits combined. The nature of the mineralization and alteration in BlackSheep includes extensive sinter deposits surrounding centers of hydrothermal eruption breccia vents associated with high-level coliform banded amorphous to chalcedonic silica with highly anomalous gold, silver arsenic, mercury, antimony and selenium values. In addition to some preliminary rock chip sampling, Integra completed an extensive soil geochemistry grid over the BlackSheep District showing highly anomalous gold and silver trends over significant lengths.

The Company commenced an extensive regional exploration program at BlackSheep in 2019. This regional exploration program included:

- Additional rock-chip sampling and prospect scale mapping
- A regional airborne magnetic and radiometric survey
- Commissioning of the Idaho Geology Department to undertake 1:24,000 scale geological mapping of the DeLamar, Florida Mountain and BlackSheep Districts
- Induced polarization ("IP") survey currently underway

See "2020 in Review" and "2021 Outlook" sections above for further details on recent exploration work.

# 3. War Eagle Property, Idaho

On January 21, 2019, Integra announced that, through its wholly owned subsidiary, DeLamar Mining Company, it entered into an option agreement with Nevada Select Royalty, Inc. ("Nevada Select"), a wholly owned subsidiary of Ely Gold Royalties, Inc to acquire Nevada Select's interest in a State of Idaho Mineral Lease encompassing the War Eagle gold-silver Deposit ("War Eagle") situated 3 km east of Integra's Florida Mountain Deposit.

In the War Eagle Mountain District, Integra had previously acquired the Carton Claim group comprising of six patented mining claims covering 45 acres and located 750 m north of the State Lease.

War Eagle Mountain has a rich history of high-grade gold-silver production dating back to the late 1800's. The War Eagle-Florida-DeLamar geological settings, all hosting low sulphidation epithermal gold-silver are genetically related to the same mineralization forming event that occurred roughly 16 million years ago. The local geology and ore mineralogy found within the low sulphidation epithermal veins on War Eagle Mountain are similar to the regimes found at DeLamar and Florida Mountain to the west. The key difference is the host rock. Historically mined gold and silver in high grade veins at War Eagle was predominately mined and hosted by late Cretaceous age granitic rock. It should be noted that historically, the veins of War Eagle Mountain were of far higher grade compared to any other mining operations in the district, including DeLamar and Florida Mountain. Past production on these high-grade vein systems has outlined strike lengths in excess of 1 km and depth extents of up to 750 meters or more.

The following table highlights several of the best intercepts drilled by previous explorers of War Eagle Mountain, as described in historic drill data tabulations.

Drill Hole ID	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m) <sup>(1)</sup>	g/t AuEq <sup>(2)</sup>
W14 incl	131.06 131.06		82.30 3.05	4.07 32.04
W02	56.39	62.48	6.09	9.49
W03	175.26	182.88	7.62	9.28
W06	146.30	147.83	1.52	55.03
W40	68.58	92.96	24.38	8.45
W40 incl	152.40 166.12	195.07 176.78	42.67 10.67	8.83 19.19
W51	124.97	132.59	7.62	8.04

<sup>1.</sup> The historic drill data reported in this release was developed by previous operators of the War Eagle Project prior to the introduction of NI43-101. Historic drill intersections are reported as drilled thicknesses. True widths of the mineralized intervals are estimated to be less than 75% of the reported widths. The historic drill data was sourced from historic reports by various operators' exploration and production data and reports. Integra Resources is providing this historic data for informational purposes only, and gives no assurance as to its reliability or relevance. Integra Resources has not completed any quality assurance program or applied quality control measures to the historic data. Accordingly, the historic data should not be relied upon.

See "2020 in Review" and "2021 Outlook" sections above for further details on recent exploration work.

<sup>2.</sup> Gold equivalent =  $g Au/t + (g Ag/t \div 85)$ 



### SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following table sets forth selected consolidation information of the Company as of December 31, 2020, December 31, 2019, and December 31, 2018, prepared in accordance with IFRS. The selected consolidated financial information should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited annual consolidated financial statements.

	Year Ended December 31, 2020 \$	Year Ended December 31, 2019 \$	Year Ended December 31, 2018 \$
Exploration and evaluation expenses	(17,135,991)	(13,433,489)	(9,512,437)
Operating loss	(25,674,240)	(20,281,662)	(15,396,499)
Other income (expense)	(1,489,377)	(1,371,387)	588,660
Net loss	(27,163,617)	(21,653,049)	(14,807,839)
Net income (loss) per share	(0.54)	(0.64)	(0.63)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(170,070)	(861,523)	(105,079)
Comprehensive loss	(27,333,687)	(22,514,572)	(14,912,918)
Cash and cash equivalents	37,000,648	31,323,346	15,420,540
Restricted cash, long-term	23,100	1,928,641	2,267,778
Exploration and evaluation assets	72,330,024	61,348,921	58,422,192
Total assets	113,584,204	97,714,711	78,827,015
Total current liabilities	7,246,586	4,445,062	8,587,843
Total non-current liabilities	53,084,571	42,710,061	40,660,609
Working capital	30,630,454	27,587,579	7,335,491

The current operating loss of \$25,674,240 was mostly driven by exploration and evaluation expenses of \$17,135,991 as well as head office expenses such as compensation, corporate development and marketing, office, professional fees, regulatory fees, and stock-based compensation (non-cash) expenses. December 31, 2019 operating loss of \$20,281,662 was mostly driven by exploration and evaluation expenses of \$13,433,489 as well as head office expenses including compensation, corporate development and marketing, office, professional fees, and stock-based compensation expenses (non-cash). The change from 2018 to 2019 was mostly due to an increase in exploration and evaluation expenses.

Other expense of \$1,489,377 in the current twelve-month period is mostly due to the foreign exchange loss and reclamation accretion expenses, partially offset by interest and rent income. Other expense of \$1,371,387 in the year ended December 31, 2019 was mostly due to the reclamation accretion expenses and foreign exchange losses, partly offset by the Company's interest and rent income. Other income of \$588,660 in the year ended December 31, 2018 was mostly due to a foreign exchange gain partially offset by reclamation accretion expenses.

Other comprehensive income (loss) amounts are related to the foreign exchange translation adjustment.

Total assets in the current year ended December 31, 2020 increased compared to the year ended December 31, 2019, mostly due to cash increase resulting from the Company's September 2020 financing, and an increase in exploration and evaluation assets resulting from a reclamation adjustment, and property, plant and equipment additions. Total assets in the year ended December 31, 2019 increased when compared to the year ended December 31, 2018, mostly as a result of the cash increase due to the Company's 2019 financings. Working capital in the current year increased comparing to the year ended December 31, 2019, mostly due to an increase in cash, partially off-set by greater payables. Working capital increased significantly in the year ended December 31, 2019 comparing to the year ended December 31, 2018, due to the cash increase and current liabilities decrease (as the \$4.5 million promissory note was paid in



October 2019) in 2019. Working capital in the year ended December 31, 2018 was driven by the \$17 million financing in the last quarter of 2018, cash expenditures spent on drilling, the Florida Mountain deposit acquisition, exploration expenses, and corporate general administration expenditures, and an increase in current liabilities (driven by the promissory note liability reclassification from non-current to current liabilities).

Total current liabilities increased in the current year ended December 31, 2020 comparing to the year ended December 31, 2019, due to increased payables, leases, and equipment financing liability. Total current liabilities decreased as of December 31, 2019 comparing to the year ended December 31, 2018, mostly due to the repayment of the promissory note in October 2019. Total non-current liabilities increased in the current year ended December 31, 2020 comparing to the year ended December 31, 2019, mostly due to a change in reclamation liability (resulting from a change in discount rate and exchange rate in the current year) and equipment financing liability incurred in the current year. Total non-current liabilities increased in 2019 fiscal year, comparing to the year ended December 31, 2018, mostly due to a change in reclamation liability estimates.

The following table outlines the exploration and evaluation assets break-down:

	Total
Balance at December 31, 2018	\$ 58,422,192
Land acquisitions/option payments	64,940
Claim Staking	227,370
Legal expenses	25,303
Title review and environment	13,046
Promissory note interest accretion expenses	119,205
Reclamation adjustment*	5,241,860
Depreciation**	(9,616)
Translation difference***	(2,800,772)
Total	61,303,528
Advance minimum royalty	45,393
Balance at December 31, 2019	61,348,921
Land acquisitions/option payments	45,835
Claim Staking	69,550
Legal expenses	5,559
Title review and environment	8,678
Reclamation adjustment*	12,000,190
Depreciation**	(9,453)
Translation difference***	(1,209,218)
Total	72,260,062
Advance minimum royalty	69,962
Balance at December 31, 2020	\$ 72,330,024

<sup>\*</sup>Reclamation adjustment is the change in present value of the reclamation liability, due to changes to inflation rate and discount rate. Also see Note 15 of the Company's audited annual consolidated financial statements.

The following table outlines the exploration and evaluation expense break-down by properties for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019:

<sup>\*\*</sup>A staff house building with a carrying value of US\$187,150 (C\$238,279) has been included in the DeLamar property. This building is being depreciated.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>December 31, 2018 closing balance of US\$42,825,239 (C\$58,422,192), translated to C\$ with the December 31, 2019 exchange rate equals to \$55,621,420, resulting in a \$2,800,772 translation difference; December 31, 2019 closing balance of US\$47,235,080 (C\$61,348,921), translated to C\$ with the December 31, 2020 exchange rate equals to \$60,139,703, resulting in a \$1,209,218 translation difference.



# MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS

For the Years Ended December 31, 2020 and 2019

# **Exploration and Evaluation Expense Summary:**

December 31, 2020	DeLamar deposit	Florida Mountain deposit	War Eagle deposit	Other deposits	Joint expenses	Total
Contract exploration drilling	\$ 494,921	\$ 3,099,244	\$ 994,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 4,588,165
Contract metallurgical drilling	989,228	-	-	-	-	989,228
Exploration drilling - other	322,283	1,603,329	599,212	365,675	-	2,890,499
drilling labour & related costs						
Metallurgical drilling – other	426,852	-	-	-	-	426,852
drilling labour & related costs						
Other exploration expenses*	-	431,619	-	544,294	1,758,034	2,733,947
Other development	-	-	-	-	1,350,106	1,350,106
expenses**						
Land***	217,565	118,653	6,073	35,131	293,548	670,970
Permitting	-	-	-	-	2,172,743	2,172,743
Metallurgy test work	321,928	321,792	-	-	-	643,720
Technical reports and	-	-	-	-	438,682	438,682
studies						
Community engagement	-	-	-	-	231,079	231,079
Total	\$ 2,772,777	\$ 5,574,637	\$1,599,285	\$ 945,100	\$ 6,244,192	\$ 17,135,991

<sup>\*</sup>Includes mapping, IP, sampling, payroll, exploration G&A expenses, consultants

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>Includes compliance, consulting, property taxes, legal, etc. expenses

December 31, 2019	DeLamar deposit	Florida Mountain deposit	Other deposits	Joint expenses	Total
Contract exploration drilling	\$ 1,065,358	\$ 842,744	\$ 642,942	\$ -	\$ 2,551,044
Contract metallurgical drilling	1,022,294	363,115	313,663	-	1,699,072
Exploration drilling - other drilling	1,266,753	2,105,666	-	-	3,372,419
labour & related costs					
Metallurgical drilling – other	526,235	907,273	-	-	1,433,508
drilling labour & related costs					
Other exploration expenses*	160,783	138,783	323,625	1,213,644	1,836,835
Land**	143,735	56,903	17,862	252,005	470,505
Permitting	-	-	-	93,393	93,393
Metallurgy test work	-	-	-	975,809	975,809
Technical reports and studies	-	-	-	819,970	819,970
Community, safety & other	-	-	-	180,934	180,934
Total	\$ 4,185,158	\$ 4,414,484	\$ 1,298,092	\$ 3,535,755	\$ 13,433,489

<sup>\*</sup>Includes mapping, IP, sampling, payroll, exploration G&A expenses, consultants

# **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

# YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2020 was \$27,163,617 and the comprehensive loss \$27,333,687, compared to a net loss of \$21,653,049 and a comprehensive loss of \$22,514,572 for the comparative period in 2019.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Includes development G&A expenses and payroll

<sup>\*\*</sup>Includes compliance, consulting, property taxes, legal, etc. expenses



Overall, operating expenses were higher in the current twelve-month period mostly due to an increase in exploration and development expenses, stock-based compensation (non-cash expense), office and site administration, professional and regulatory fees, and depreciation. Other income (expense) in the current twelve-month period increased comparing to the previous year, mostly due to higher foreign exchange loss. The variances between these two periods were primarily due to the following items:

- Exploration and evaluation expenses: the Company incurred \$17,135,991 in exploration and development expenses during the current year (December 31, 2019 \$13,433,489). The difference is mostly due to increased development activities in the current twelve-month period, including metallurgical drilling, metallurgical and engineering studies and permitting activities.
- **Stock-based compensation**: the Company incurred \$2,272,266 in stock-based compensation in the current year (December 31, 2019 \$1,632,183). The variance is due to the timing of vesting of options granted in 2019, 2018 and 2017 and new equity incentive awards granted in the current year.
- Professional fees: for the year ended December 31, 2020 totaled \$559,259 (December 31, 2019 \$260,296).
   Professional fees include expenses such as legal, audit, accounting, tax, and miscellaneous consulting expenses. Professional fees were higher in the current period mostly due to increased legal fees resulting from increased corporate activity, such as the Company's continuation to BC, internal controls consulting fee, share consolidation and the NYSE American listing.
- Office and site administration: the Company incurred \$956,466 in expenses during the current year (December 31, 2019 - \$657,681), mostly due to increased IT expenses, new DeLamar's Boise office expenses, sponsorship, recruitment, and insurance expenses in the current period.
- Depreciation expenses related to the property, plant and equipment amounted to \$405,750 in the current year (December 31, 2019 \$191,570). The increase is due to equipment additions in 2020.
- **Regulatory fees:** for the current year totaled \$345,860 (December 31, 2019 \$149,512). Regulatory fees, which include filing fees and transfer agent fees, were higher in the current period mostly due to increased corporate activity, such as the Company's continuation to BC, share consolidation and the NYSE American listing.
- **Depreciation expenses related to the right-of-use assets** amounted to \$409,665 in the current year (December 31, 2019 \$263,162). The increase is due to lease additions in 2020.
- **Compensation and benefits:** these expenses amounted to \$2,765,702 in the current year (December 31, 2019 \$2,909,986). The decrease is mostly due to a reclassification of compensation expenses to the exploration and evaluation expenses in the current year.
- Other expense: amounted to \$1,489,377 in the current year, compared to \$1,371,387 in the comparative period, mostly due to a higher foreign exchange loss in the current year, partially off-set by lower accretion expenses.

# THREE-MONTH PERIOD ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020

Net loss for the three-month period ended December 31, 2020 was \$11,153,886 and the comprehensive loss \$11,894,391, compared to a net loss of \$7,437,800 and a comprehensive loss of \$7,816,076 for the comparative period in 2019.

Overall, operating expenses were higher in the current three-month period mostly due to an increase in exploration and development expenses, stock-based compensation (non-cash item), office and site administration, corporate development and marketing expenses, and depreciation; other non-operating loss was higher in the current three-month



period, mostly due to the higher foreign exchange loss in the current period. The variances between these two periods were primarily due to the following items:

- Exploration and evaluation expenses: the Company incurred \$6,231,940 in exploration and development expenses during the quarter ended December 31, 2020 (December 31, 2019 \$4,621,103). The difference is mostly due to increased development activities in the current three-month period.
- **Stock-based compensation**: the Company incurred \$890,983 in stock-based compensation in the current three-month period ended December 31, 2020 (December 31, 2019 \$586,912). The variance is due to the granting of options in 2020 and timing of vesting of options granted in 2019, 2018 and 2017 and new equity incentive awards granted in the current period.
- Office and site administration: the Company incurred \$314,922 in expenses during the three-month period ended December 31, 2020 (December 31, 2019 - \$168,723), mostly due to increased IT expenses, new DeLamar's Boise office expenses, sponsorship, training, and insurance expenses in the current period.
- Corporate development and marketing: for the three-month period ended December 31, 2020 totaled \$344,866 (December 31, 2019 \$212,942). The increase was mostly due to new marketing initiatives, such as a TV commercial, partially off-set by a significant decrease in travel expenses in the current period.
- Depreciation expenses related to the property, plant and equipment amounted to \$121,521 in the three-month period ended December 31, 2020 (December 31, 2019 \$54,283), due to equipment additions in 2020.
- **Depreciation expenses related to the right-of-use assets** amounted to \$122,423 in the three-month period ended December 31, 2020 (December 31, 2019 \$69,871), due to lease additions in 2020.
- Compensation and benefits: these expenses amounted to \$902,177 in the three-month period ended December 31, 2020 (December 31, 2019 \$1,170,512). The decrease is mostly due to a reclassification of compensation expenses to the exploration and evaluation expenses in the current period.
- Other expense: amounted to \$2,095,972 in the three-month period ended December 31, 2020, compared to \$442,571 in the comparative period, mostly due to higher foreign exchange loss in the current quarter.

Net cash used by the Company in operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2020 was \$23,003,525 (December 31, 2019 – \$20,148,823). The variance between these two periods was mostly driven by exploration and development expenditures, compensation, office and site administration, professional and regulatory fees.

### **Investing Activities**

Net cash received from investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2020 was \$1,225,082 (December 31, 2019 - \$5,603,039 used in investing activities). Net cash received by investing activities was higher in the current period, mostly due to the release of the restricted cash (which was held as security bond collateral). The property acquisitions were also significantly lower in 2020 than 2019, as 2019 included the repayment of the Kinross promissory note.

### **Financing Activities**

Net cash received from financing activities in the current period was \$27,455,745, comparing to \$41,654,668 in the comparative period. The Company raised less equity in 2020 than it did in 2019.

The Company raised net proceeds of approximately \$14.4 million in October 2018 through both concurrent brokered and non-brokered financings. The table below summarized the expected use of proceeds and the actual use of proceeds:



# MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS

For the Years Ended December 31, 2020 and 2019

October 2018 Financing (Expenditures from late 2018 to June 2019)	October 2018 Expected Use of Proceeds (C\$M)	Actual Use of Proceeds (C\$M)	Variance (C\$M)
G&A (Expenditures from January 2019 to June 2019)	\$2.1	\$2.1	\$0.0
Drilling (Core and RC) (Expenditures from November 2018 to June 2019)	\$4.5 (13,000m of core and RC drilling)	\$4.2 (13,169m of core and RC drilling)	(\$0.3)
Engineering (NI 43-101 and PEA) (Expenditures from January 2019 to June 2019)	\$0.7	\$0.8	\$0.1
Other (field costs, geology work, land acquisition, site G&A, geophysics, metallurgy, etc.) (Expenditures from December 2018 to June 2019)	\$1.6	\$2.1	\$0.5 <sup>(1)</sup>
Payment to Kinross Gold U.S.A., Inc.	\$4.5	\$4.5	\$0.0 <sup>(2)</sup>
Site Ongoing Environmental Monitoring / Water Treatment (Expenditures from January 2019 to June 2019)	\$1.0	\$1.0	\$0.0
Total	\$14.4	\$14.7	\$0.3

<sup>(1)</sup> Variance can be explained due to additional exploration work, land acquisitions and unbudgeted items. The variances have not had an impact on the Company's ability to achieve its business objectives and milestones.

The Company raised net proceeds of approximately \$12.0 million in August 2019 through a non-brokered financing. The table below summarized the expected use of proceeds and the actual use of proceeds:

August 2019 Financing (Expenditures from July 2019 to December 2019) (1)	August 2019 Expected Use of Proceeds (C\$M)	Actual Use of Proceeds (C\$M) <sup>(1)</sup>	Variance (C\$M)
Corporate G&A	\$0	\$0	\$0
Drilling (Core and RC)	\$7.4 (15,000m of core and RC drilling)	\$5.4 (12,200m of core and RC drilling)	(\$2.0) <sup>(2)</sup>
Other Exploration (magnetics, spectrometry, mapping, travels, etc.)	\$0.6	\$1.5	\$0.9 <sup>(3)</sup>
Development	\$1.4	\$1.1	(\$0.3)
Other (field costs, geology work, land acquisition, land holdings, site G&A, infrastructure, etc.)	\$1.7	\$2.2	\$0.5 <sup>(4)</sup>
Site Ongoing Environmental Monitoring / Water treatment	\$0.9	\$1.3	\$0.4
Total	\$12.0	\$11.5	(\$0.5) <sup>(5)</sup>

- (1) Actual Use of Proceeds includes actual costs from July to December 2019.
- (2) Variance can be explained due to a lesser amount of meters drilled (12,200m vs. original 15,000m planned).
- (3) Variance can be explained by additional exploration work such as geochemistry, mapping, IP and spectrometry.
- (4) Variance can be explained by the re-allocation of capital items (infrastructure) from "Development" to "Other".
- (5) The overall variance vs use of proceeds is not material.

<sup>(2)</sup> The Company extended the Kinross Gold U.S.A., Inc. note maturity from May 3, 2019 to November 3, 2019. The Company repaid the note with cash on hand prior to this Offering.



# **MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS**

For the Years Ended December 31, 2020 and 2019

The Company raised net proceeds of approximately \$29.8 million in November and December 2019 through both concurrent brokered and non-brokered financings. The table below summarized the expected use of proceeds (as of the date of the financings) and the actual use of proceeds (as of December 31, 2020):

November/December 2019 Financings (Expenditures from January 2020 to December 2020) (1)	Nov/Dec 2019 Expected Use of	Actual Use of	Variance (C\$M)
(Experioritures from January 2020 to December 2020)	Proceeds (C\$M)	Proceeds (Calli)	
Exploration Drilling (Core)	\$7.4	\$7.6	\$0.2
Metallurgical Drilling (Core)	\$3.5	\$2.1	(\$1.4) <sup>(2)</sup>
Other Exploration	\$3.4	\$2.8	(\$0.6) <sup>(3)</sup>
Development	\$6.4	\$4.2	(\$2.2) <sup>(4)</sup>
Other (field costs, land acquisition, land holdings, site G&A, infrastructure, etc.)	\$2.7	\$2.3	(\$0.4)
Site Ongoing Environmental Monitoring/Water treatment	\$2.4	\$2.2	(\$0.2)
Corporate G&A	\$4.0	\$4.3	\$0.3
Total	\$29.8	\$25.5	(\$4.3) <sup>(5)</sup>

- (1) (2) Actual Use of Proceeds includes actual costs from January 1, 2020 to December 31, 2020.
- Variance can be explained due to a lesser amount of metallurgical meters drilled (2,763m vs. original 7,500m planned). The company did not require to drill as much as originally planned. The Company also spent ~US\$0.5mm on ground water drilling.
- (3)Variance can be explained by less labour costs than budgeted and decision not to proceed with the construction of a new core shack. Partially-off set by a greater IP program than originally budgeted.
- Variance can be explained by lower permitting and engineering expenses than originally budgeted. These expenses will be rolled over in (4)
- Overall variance due to development expenses, as the Company did not spend as much as originally budgeted towards permitting and (5) engineering expenses. The Company do not believe that the variance will have an impact on its development timeline.

The Company raised net proceeds of approximately C\$28.1 million (US\$21.3 million) in September 2020 through a brokered financing. The table below summarized the expected use of proceeds and the actual use of proceeds:

September 2020 Financing (Expenditures from January 2021 to Q4 2021) (1)	September 2020 Expected Use of Proceeds (C\$M) (1)	September 2020 Expected Use of Proceeds (US\$M) (1)	
Exploration work, including drilling	C\$8.4	US\$6.4	
Pre-Feasibility Study work, including engineering and permitting	g C\$11.9 US\$9		
Other (field costs, land acquisition, land holdings, site G&A, infrastructure, etc.)	C\$2.2	US\$1.7	
Site Ongoing Environmental Monitoring / Water Treatment	C\$1.9	US\$1.4	
Corporate G&A	C\$3.7	US\$2.8	
Total	C\$28.1	US\$21.3	

(1) The Company does not currently expect the actual use of proceeds to differ materially from the expected use of proceeds.



# For the Years Ended December 31, 2020 and 2019

### **SUMMARY OF SELECTED QUARTERLY INFORMATION**

The following table sets forth selected quarterly financial information for each of the last eight quarters \*.

Quarter Ending	Revenue	Net Loss	Net Loss
	(\$)	(\$)	Per Share (\$)
December 31, 2020	Nil	(11,153,886)	(0.21)
September 30, 2020	Nil	(7,658,156)	(0.15)
June 30, 2020	Nil	(5,234,608)	(0.11)
March 31, 2020	Nil	(3,116,967)	(0.07)
December 31, 2019	Nil	(7,437,800)	(0.19)
September 30, 2019	Nil	(5,648,539)	(0.17)
June 30, 2019	Nil	(4,557,202)	(0.15)
March 31, 2019	Nil	(4,009,508)	(0.13)

<sup>\*</sup>Net loss per share data reflects the 2.5 to 1 consolidation on July 9, 2020 of the Company's issued and outstanding shares.

The net loss for each quarter of the year ended December 31, 2020 was driven by exploration and development expenses, G&A expenses (such as compensation, corporate development and marketing, office and administration, professional, and regulatory fees), stock-based compensation expenses (non-cash item), partly offset by interest and rent income in all 2020 quarters and by foreign exchange gain in the third and first quarter of 2020. Net loss of \$7,437,800 for the three-month period ended December 31, 2019 was driven mostly by exploration and development expenses, and head office expenses such as compensation, corporate development and marketing, office and site administration, professional fees, and the stock-based compensation expenses, partly offset by the Company's interest and rent income. Net loss for the last quarter of 2019 increased comparing to the third quarter of 2019 mostly due to the bonuses paid in December 2019 and stock-based compensation expenses related to the stock options granted in December 2019. Net loss of \$5,648,539 for the three-month period ended September 30, 2019 increased comparing to the first two quarters of 2019 mostly due increased office and site administration and corporate development and marketing expenses.

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The Company does not have a mineral property in production and consequently does not receive revenue from the sale of precious metals. The Company currently has no operations that generates cash flow. The Company has financed its operations primarily through the issuance of share capital. The continued operations of the Company are dependent on its ability to complete sufficient public equity financing or generate profitable operations in the future.

The Company had a working capital of \$30,630,454 at December 31, 2020 (December 31, 2019 - \$27,587,579). Working capital increased in the current period comparing to the year ended December 31, 2019 mostly due to an increase in cash (September 2020 financing), partially off-set by greater payables.

The Company actively manages its liquidity using budgeting based on expected cash flows to ensure there are appropriate funds for meeting short term obligations during the year.

### **FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS**

All financial instruments are required to be measured at fair value on initial recognition. The fair value is based on quoted market prices, unless the financial instruments are not traded in an active market. In this case, the fair value is determined by using valuation techniques like the Black-Scholes option pricing model or other valuation techniques. Measurement in subsequent periods depends on the classification of the financial instrument. A description of financial instruments and their fair value is included in the audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2020, filed on SEDAR at <a href="www.sedar.com">www.sedar.com</a> and on Integra's website at <a href="www.integraresources.com">www.integraresources.com</a>.



### **LOAN RECEIVABLE**

In August 2020, the Company extended a US\$140,000 (C\$178,248) loan to a local resident to complete the construction of a restaurant in Jordan Valley. This restaurant will serve the local community and the Company's employees and contractors. The loan bears a 6.0% interest rate per annum for a five-year term, and the first payment was originally due on January 31, 2021 but was subsequently deferred to April 30, 2021. The loan is fully secured by the premises and all property affixed or attached to or incorporated upon the premises.

An impairment test based on the expected credit loss modes is being performed quarterly, and management assessed that the credit risk related to the loan as low.

Summaries of the changes in the loan receivable and interest income for the year ended December 31, 2020 are included in the Company's audited consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019.

Subsequent to the year end, the loan was increased from US\$140,000 (C\$178,248) to US\$175,000 (C\$222,810) – see "Subsequent Events" section.

### **COMMITMENTS AND CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS**

#### Net Smelter Return

A portion of the DeLamar Project is subject to a 2.5% NSR payable to Maverix Metals Inc. ("Maverix"). The NSR will be reduced to 1.0% once Maverix has received a total cumulative royalty payment of C\$10 million.

Advance Minimum Royalties, Land Access Lease Payments, and Annual Claim Filings

The Company is required to make property rent payments related to its mining lease agreements with landholders and the Idaho Department of Lands ("IDL"), in the form of advance minimum royalties ("AMR"). There are multiple third-party landholders, and the royalty amounts due to each of them over the life of the Project varies with each property.

The Company's AMR obligation totalled US\$54,950 (C\$69,962) for 2020 (December 31, 2019 – US\$34,950 (C\$45,393)), paid in full in the current year.

In addition, the Company paid land and road access lease payments, option payments and IDL rent payments in the amount of US\$195,193 (C\$248,520) for 2020 (December 31, 2019 - US\$132,232 (C\$171,743)), paid in full in the current year.

The Company's obligation for BML claim fees was US\$191,651 (C\$244,010) for 2020 (December 31, 2019 - US\$166,730 (C\$216,549)), paid in full in the current year.

The increase in land related payments from 2019 to 2020 is mostly a result of the Company's increased land position. The Company does not expect the 2021 land related payments to be materially higher than 2020.

### Other Commitments

The Company's commitments and contractual obligations at December 31, 2020, are as follows:

Commitments and contractual obligations	Less than one year commitment	1 – 3 years commitment	3 – 5 years commitment	Over 5 years commitment	Total
Contractual	1,522,586	551,347	296,706	-	2,370,639
obligations*					
Total	\$ 1,522,586	\$ 551,347	\$ 296,706	\$ -	\$ 2,370,639

<sup>\*</sup>Contractual obligations are related to various exploration and development commitments.

### LEASES - RIGHT-OF-USE ASSETS AND LEASE LIABILITIES

Summaries of the changes in right-of-use assets and the lease liabilities for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019 are included in the Company's audited consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019.

The Company subleased a portion of its head office to three companies for a total rent income of \$64,425 in the current year (December 31, 2019 - \$90,000). The income is recognized in the statement of operations and comprehensive loss, under the "Rent income - sublease".

# **Operating Leases**

The Company elected to apply recognition exemption under IFRS 16 on its short-term rent agreements related to its Reno office space and some equipment rentals. For the year ended December 31, 2020, the Company expensed \$119,612 (December 31, 2019 - \$136,079) related to these operating leases. The Reno office lease was terminated during the current year. The Company's short-term lease commitment as of December 31, 2020 was \$15,877 (December 31, 2019 - \$90,122).

### TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PARTIES

Related parties include the Board of Directors and officers and enterprises that are controlled by these individuals as well as certain consultants performing similar functions.

As December 31, 2020, \$816,811 (December 31, 2019 - \$509,731) was due to related parties for payroll expenses, consulting fees, bonuses accruals, vacation accruals and other expenses. Receivables from related parties (related to rent and office expenses) as of December 31, 2020 amounted to \$9,516 (December 31, 2019 - \$21,385) and was recorded in receivables. These receivables were settled subsequent to the period end.

# Key Management Compensation:

Key management personnel include those persons having authority and responsibility for planning, directing, and controlling the activities of the Company as a whole. The Company has determined that key management personnel consist of executive and non-executive members of the Company's Board of Directors and corporate officers.



# **MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS**

For the Years Ended December 31, 2020 and 2019

Remuneration attributed to executives and directors for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019 were as follows:

	December 31, 2020	December 31, 2019
Short-term benefits*	\$ 2,123,892	\$ 1,675,146
Associate companies**	(30,935)	(31,205)
Stock-based compensation	1,763,245	1,213,717
Total	\$ 3,856,202	\$ 2,857,658

<sup>\*</sup>Short-term employment benefits include salaries, consulting fees, vacation accruals and bonus accruals for key management. It also includes directors' fees for non-executive members of the Company's Board of Directors.

Key management personnel include those persons having authority and responsibility for planning, directing and controlling the activities of the Company as a whole. The Company has determined that key management personnel consist of executive and non-executive members of the Company's Board of Directors and corporate officers.

The following tables show the break-down of the compensation and short-term benefits attributed to key management and associate companies for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019 \*.

	Years Ended					
Related Parties	December 31 2020		December 31, 2019			
George Salamis, Director, CEO & President	\$ 623,172	2 \$	418,269			
Stephen de Jong, Director	120,000	)	120,000			
David Awram, Director	36,277	,	36,139			
Timo Jauristo, Director	36,000	)	36,000			
Anna Ladd-Kruger, Director	36,277	•	36,139			
C.L. "Butch" Otter, Director	51,192	<u>)</u>	13,637			
Andree St-Germain, CFO	313,623	3	275,854			
Max Baker, VP Exploration	376,748	3	326,614			
Joshua Serfass**	72,849	)	-			
Timothy Arnold, COO	457,754	ļ	412,494			
Total	\$ 2,123,892	2 \$	1,675,146			

<sup>\*</sup>Short-term employment benefits include salaries, consulting fees, vacation accruals, and bonus accruals for key management. It also includes directors' fees for non-executive members of the Company's Board of Directors.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Mr. Serfass became a related party on December 3, 2020.

Associate companies – December 31, 2020	Paid to	Received from	Net total
VRify Technology Inc. (1)	\$ 6,000	\$ -	\$ 6,000
Contact Gold Corp. (2)	-	(36,935)	(36,935)
Total	\$ 6,000	\$ (36,935)	\$ (30,935)

Associate companies – Decembe 2019	er 31,	Paid to	Received from	Net total
VRify Technology Inc. (1)	\$	18,800	\$ (8,195)	\$ 10,605
Contact Gold Corp. (2)		-	(41,810)	(41,810)
Total	\$	18,800	\$ (50,005)	\$ (31,205)

<sup>(1)</sup> Stephen de Jong, Chairman of the Company, is the CEO of VRify Technology Inc. ("VRify"). The Company paid VRify fees for its virtual reality services. VRify paid the Company rent and office expenses until February 2019.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Net of payable/receivable/GST due to/from entities for which Integra's directors are executives, mostly related to rent and office expenses.

<sup>(2)</sup> George Salamis, president and CEO of the Company, is a director of Contact Gold ("Contact Gold"). Contact Gold paid the Company rent and office expenses.



### **EQUIPMENT FINANCING**

During the current year, the Company's wholly owned subsidiary, DeLamar Mining Company, purchased a dozer and two small excavators and entered into a 48-month mobile equipment financing agreement in the amount of US\$0.6mm (C\$0.8mm). The mobile equipment financing is guaranteed by Integra Resources Corp.

The equipment financing liability is initially measured at the present value of the payments to be made over the financing term, using the implicit interest rate of 7.0% per annum. Subsequently, equipment financing liability is accreted to reflect interest and the liability is reduced to reflect financing payments.

Summaries of the changes in the equipment financing liability and interest expenses for the year ended December 31, 2020 are included in the Company's audited consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019.

### **OUTSTANDING SHARE DATA**

Share capital details are included in the Company's audited consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2020 and 2019.

The following table outlines the outstanding share data as of the date of this MD&A:

	March 12, 2021
Issued and outstanding common shares	54,796,910
Outstanding Options/RSUs/DSUs to purchase common shares	5,213,999
Issued and outstanding common shares (fully diluted)	60,010,909

### **SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

- Subsequent to the year-end, the Company sold 41,000 shares through its ATM for gross proceeds of US\$155,307 (C\$198,432) and paid 2.75% commission.
- In January 2021, the Company increased its loan receivable from US\$140,000 to US\$175,000.
- Subsequent to the year-end, 147,733 options were exercised.
- On February 24, 2021, the Company appointed Carolyn Loder as a new director and granted her 100,000 options at an exercise price of \$4.24 per option, with the expiry date February 24, 2026.

### **CRITICAL ACCOUNTING JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES**

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with IFRS requires management to make judgments, estimates and assumptions which affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Estimates are based on historical experience and other factors considered to be reasonable and are reviewed on an ongoing basis. Revisions to estimates and the resulting effects on the carrying amounts of the Company's assets and liabilities are accounted for prospectively.

Measurement uncertainties are described in the Company's audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2020.



### **CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

The Company's accounting policies are in accordance with IFRS and described in the Company's audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2020.

### **RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES**

The Company is subject to a number of risks and uncertainties due to the nature of its business. The Company's exploration activities expose it to various financial and operational risks that could have a significant impact on its level of operating cash flows in the future.

Readers are advised to study and consider risk factors disclosed in the Company's Annual Information Form for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2020, dated March 12, 2021 and available under the Company's issuer profile on SEDAR at <a href="https://www.sedar.com">www.sedar.com</a>.

### CAUTIONARY NOTE TO US INVESTORS WITH RESPECT TO MINERAL RESOURCES

The SEC has adopted amendments to its disclosure rules to modernize the mineral property disclosure requirements for issuers whose securities are registered with the SEC. These amendments became effective February 25, 2019 (the "SEC Modernization Rules") and, following a two-year transition period, the SEC Modernization Rules will replace the historical property disclosure requirements for mining registrants that are included in Industry Guide 7. Following the transition period, as a foreign private issuer that files its annual report on Form 40-F with the SEC pursuant to the multi-jurisdictional disclosure system, the Company is not required to provide disclosure on its mineral properties under the SEC Modernization Rules and will continue to provide disclosure under NI 43-101 and the CIM Definition Standards. If the Company ceases to be a foreign private issuer or loses its eligibility to file its annual report on Form 40-F pursuant to the multi-jurisdictional disclosure system, then the Company will be subject to the SEC Modernization Rules which differ from the requirements of NI 43-101 and the CIM Definition Standards.

### **NON-GAAP MEASURES**

Alternative performance measures in this document such as "cash cost" and "AISC" are furnished to provide additional information. These non-GAAP performance measures are included in this MD&A because these statistics are used as key performance measures that management uses to monitor and assess performance of the DeLamar Project, and to plan and assess the overall effectiveness and efficiency of mining operations. These performance measures do not have a standard meaning within IFRS and, therefore, amounts presented may not be comparable to similar data presented by other mining companies. These performance measures should not be considered in isolation as a substitute for measures of performance in accordance with IFRS.

# **TECHNICAL INFORMATION**

The scientific and technical information contained in this MD&A has been reviewed and approved by E. Max Baker (F.AusIMM), Vice President Exploration, and Timothy Arnold (P.E.), Chief Operating Officer, who are a "Qualified Person" ("QP") as defined in National Instrument 43-101 – Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects.



### **CONTROL AND PROCEDURES**

#### **Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that material information is gathered and reported to management, as appropriate to allow for timely decisions about public disclosure. The Company has disclosure controls and procedures in place to provide reasonable assurance that any information required to be disclosed by the Company under securities legislation is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the applicable time periods and that required information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, so that decisions can be made about the timely disclosure of that information.

Management has evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls as of December 31, 2020 and concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

### **Internal Controls over Financial Reporting**

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal controls over financial reporting as such term is defined in the rules of the National Instrument 52-109 in Canada ("NI 52-109") and Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the United States Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The Company's internal controls over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of the Company's financial reporting for external purposes in accordance with IFRS as issued by the IASB.

Based on the criteria set forth in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, the Company's internal controls over financial reporting include:

- Maintaining records, that in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect our transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;
- Providing reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary for preparation of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with IFRS as issued by the IASB;
- Providing reasonable assurance that receipts and expenditures are made in accordance with authorizations of management and the directors of the Company; and
- Providing reasonable assurance that unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of Company assets that could have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements would be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

Management has evaluated the effectiveness of the internal controls over financial reporting as of December 31, 2020 and concluded that those controls were effective.

An independent consultant was engaged to assist management in assessing the effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting. The independent consultant reported his opinion to management and to the Audit Committee and concluded that the Company's internal controls are effective.

### **Limitation of Controls and Procedures**

Management believes that any disclosure controls and procedures or internal control over financial reporting, no matter how well designed and operated, have their inherent limitations. Due to those limitations (resulting from unrealistic or unsuitable objectives, human judgment in decision making, human errors, management overriding internal control, circumventing controls by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, external events beyond the entity's control), internal control can only provide reasonable assurance that the objectives of the control system are met.



The design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Due to the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

There were no changes in internal controls of the Company during the year ended December 31, 2020 that have materially affected, or are likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

### INFORMATION REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This MD&A contains "forward-looking information" and "forward-looking statements" (collectively, "forward-looking statements") within the meaning of the applicable Canadian and United States securities legislation. All statements, other than statements of historical fact, are forward-looking statements and are based on expectations, estimates and projections as at the date of this MD&A. Any statement that involves discussion with respect to predictions, expectations, beliefs, plans, projections, objectives, assumptions, future events or performance (often, but not always using phrases such as "plans", "expects", "is expected", "budget", "scheduled", "estimates", "forecasts", "intends", "anticipates", or "believes" or variations (including negative variations) of such words and phrases, or state that certain actions, events or results "may", "could", "would", "might" or "will" be taken, occur or be achieved) are not statements of historical fact and may be forward-looking statements.

In this MD&A, forward-looking statements relate, but are not limited, to: the development, operational and economic results of the PEA, including cash flows, capital expenditures, development costs, extraction rates, life of mine cost estimates; estimation of mineral resources; magnitude or quality of mineral deposits; anticipated advancement of the DeLamar Project mine plan; future operations; future exploration prospects; the completion and timing of future development studies, including a pre-feasibility study; future growth potential of DeLamar and future development plans; planned exploration and development programs and expenditures; proposed exploration plans and expected results of exploration and drilling from the DeLamar Project; submission of, and anticipated results of, permitting applications; planned environmental studies; Integra's ability to obtain licenses, permits and regulatory approvals required to implement expected future exploration plans; changes in commodity prices and exchange rates; currency and interest rate fluctuations and impact of COVID-19 on the timing of exploration work and development studies.

Forward-looking statements are necessarily based upon a number of factors and assumptions that, if untrue, could cause actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such statements. Forward-looking statements are based upon a number of estimates and assumptions that, while considered reasonable by the Company at this time, are inherently subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies that may cause the Company's actual financial results, performance, or achievements to be materially different from those expressed or implied herein. Some of the material factors or assumptions used to develop forward-looking statements include, without limitation, the future price of gold and silver, anticipated costs and the Company's ability to fund its programs, the Company's ability to carry on exploration and development activities, the timing and results of drilling programs, the discovery of additional mineral resources on the Company's mineral properties, the timely receipt of required approvals and permits, including those approvals and permits required for successful project permitting, construction and operation of projects, the costs of operating and exploration expenditures, the Company's ability to operate in a safe, efficient and effective manner, the Company's ability to obtain financing as and when required and on reasonable terms and the impact of COVID-19 and the resumption of business.

Forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. Such factors include, among others: risks related to the speculative nature of the Company's business; the Company's formative stage of development; the Company's financial position; possible variations in mineralization, grade or recovery rates; actual



results of current exploration activities; actual results of reclamation activities; conclusions of future economic evaluations; business integration risks; fluctuations in general macroeconomic conditions; fluctuations in securities markets; fluctuations in spot and forward prices of gold, silver, base metals or certain other commodities; fluctuations in currency markets (such as the Canadian dollar to United States dollar exchange rate); change in national and local government, legislation, taxation, controls regulations and political or economic developments; risks and hazards associated with the business of mineral exploration, development and mining (including environmental hazards, industrial accidents, unusual or unexpected formation pressures, cave-ins and flooding); inability to obtain adequate insurance to cover risks and hazards; the presence of laws and regulations that may impose restrictions on mining; employee relations; relationships with and claims by local communities and indigenous populations; availability of increasing costs associated with mining inputs and labour; the speculative nature of mineral exploration and development (including the risks of obtaining necessary licenses, permits and approvals from government authorities); and title to properties.

This list is not exhaustive of the factors that may affect any of the Company's forward-looking statements. Although the Company believes its expectations are based upon reasonable assumptions and have attempted to identify important factors that could cause actual actions, events or results to differ materially from those described in forward-looking statements, there may be other factors that cause actions, events or results not to be as anticipated, estimated or intended. See the section entitled "Risk Factors" above for additional risk factors that could cause results to differ materially from forward-looking statements.

Forward-looking statements contained herein are made as of the date of this MD&A and the Company disclaims any obligation to update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or results, except as may be required by applicable securities laws. There can be no assurance that forward-looking statements will prove to be accurate, as actual results and future events could differ materially from those anticipated in such statements. Accordingly, readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements.

Investors are cautioned not to put undue reliance on forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements contained herein are made as of the date of this MD&A and, accordingly, are subject to change after such date. The Company disclaims any intent or obligation to update publicly or otherwise revise any forward-looking statements or the foregoing list of assumptions or factors, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except in accordance with applicable securities laws. Investors are urged to read the Company's filings with Canadian securities regulatory agencies, which can be viewed online under the Company's profile on SEDAR at <a href="https://www.sedar.com">www.sedar.com</a>.

### **MANAGEMENT'S RESPONSIBILITY**

Management is responsible for all information contained in this MD&A. The audited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards and include amounts based on management's informed judgments and estimates. The financial and operating information included in this MD&A is consistent with that contained in the audited consolidated financial statements in all material aspects.

Management maintains internal controls to provide reasonable assurance that financial information is reliable and accurate, and assets are safeguarded.

The Audit Committee has reviewed the audited consolidated financial statements with management. The Board of Directors has approved these audited consolidated financial statements on the recommendation of the Audit Committee.

George Salamis President and CEO March 12, 2021