

MINERAL RESERVES AND MINERAL RESOURCES

Note: Mineral Resources are inclusive of Mineral Reserves; all \$ values in USD.

Mineral Reserves		Proven			Probable			Proven & Probable		
GOLD (Au)		Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)	Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)	Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)
Florida Canyon Mine (a)	Oxide	-	-	-	118,471	0.31	1,191	118,471	0.31	1,191
DeLamar Project (c)	Oxide	11,675	0.40	149	108,297	0.32	1,110	119,972	0.33	1,259
	Sulphide	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	Mixed	11,675	0.40	149	226,768	0.32	2,301	238,443	0.32	2,450

Mineral Reserves		Proven			Probable			Proven & Probable		
SILVER (Ag)		Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)	Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)	Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)
Florida Canyon Mine (a)	Oxide	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DeLamar Project (c)	Oxide	11,675	16.34	6,132	108,297	13.26	46,173	119,972	13.56	52,305
	Sulphide	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	Mixed	11,675	16.34	6,132	108,297	13.26	46,173	119,972	13.56	52,305

Mineral Resources		Measured			Indicated			Measured & Indicated			Inferred		
GOLD (Au)		Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)	Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)	Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)	Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)
Florida Canyon Mine (a)	Oxide	-	-	-	171,842	0.31	1,706	171,842	0.31	1,706	53,312	0.25	433
	Sulphide	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	104,004	0.86	2,876
Standard Mine (b)	Oxide	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8,532	0.49	135
	Sulphide	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	1.47	1
DeLamar Project (c)	Oxide	15,548	0.41	204	139,953	0.31	1,400	155,501	0.32	1,604	19,813	0.26	163
	Sulphide	21,643	0.51	357	68,629	0.45	984	90,272	0.46	1,341	19,789	0.37	235
Nevada North Project (d)	Oxide	-	-	-	84,686	0.44	1,207	84,686	0.44	1,207	26,251	0.31	264
	Sulphide	-	-	-	3,938	0.92	117	3,938	0.92	117	360	0.60	7
TOTAL	Mixed	37,191	0.47	561	469,048	0.36	5,414	506,239	0.37	5,975	232,091	0.55	4,114

Mineral Resources		Measured			Indicated			Measured & Indicated			Inferred		
SILVER (Ag)		Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)	Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)	Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)	Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)
Florida Canyon Mine (a)	Oxide	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Sulphide	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DeLamar Project (c)	Oxide	15,548	20.46	10,230	139,953	13.72	61,750	155,501	14.40	71,980	19,813	8.16	5,201
	Sulphide	21,643	32.90	22,922	68,629	22.30	49,254	90,272	24.87	72,176	19,789	15.20	9,664
Nevada North Project (d)	Oxide	-	-	-	84,686	3.22	8,768	84,686	3.22	8,768	26,251	2.57	2,171
	Sulphide	-	-	-	3,938	8.47	1,072	3,938	8.47	1,072	360	4.58	53
TOTAL	Mixed	37,191	27.73	33,152	297,206	12.65	120,844	334,397	14.32	153,996	66,213	8.03	17,089

Mineral Resources		Inferred		
GOLD (Au)		Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)
<i>Florida Canyon Mine (a)</i>	<i>Oxide</i>	53,312	0.25	433
	<i>Sulphide</i>	104,004	0.86	2,876
<i>Standard Mine (b)</i>	<i>Oxide</i>	8,532	0.49	135
	<i>Sulphide</i>	30	1.47	1
<i>DeLamar Project (c)</i>	<i>Oxide</i>	19,813	0.26	163
	<i>Sulphide</i>	19,789	0.37	235
<i>Nevada North Project (d)</i>	<i>Oxide</i>	26,251	0.31	264
	<i>Sulphide</i>	360	0.60	7
TOTAL	Mixed	232,091	0.55	4,114

Mineral Resources		Inferred		
SILVER (Ag)		Tonnes (kt)	Grade (g/t)	Ounces (koz)
<i>Florida Canyon Mine (a)</i>	<i>Oxide</i>	-	-	-
	<i>Sulphide</i>	-	-	-
<i>Standard Mine (b)</i>	<i>Oxide</i>	-	-	-
	<i>Sulphide</i>	-	-	-
<i>DeLamar Project (c)</i>	<i>Oxide</i>	19,813	8.16	5,201
	<i>Sulphide</i>	19,789	15.20	9,664
<i>Nevada North Project (d)</i>	<i>Oxide</i>	26,251	2.57	2,171
	<i>Sulphide</i>	360	4.58	53
TOTAL	Mixed	66,213	8.03	17,089

Notes to Mineral Reserves and Mineral Resources Tables:

Abbreviations & Conversions: koz = 1,000 troy ounces, t = tonne (1,000 kilograms), kt = 1,000 tonnes, ton = short tons (0.9072 tonnes = 1 ton), ktons = 1,000 tons, Au = gold, Ag = silver, AuEq = gold equivalent, g/t = grams per tonne, oz/ton = ounces per ton.

(a) Florida Canyon Mine

Notes to Mineral Reserves:

1. Mineral reserves are reported at the point of delivery to the process plant, using the 2014 CIM Definition Standards, with an effective date of May 31, 2026. The qualified person as defined under NI 43-101 for the estimate is Ms. Terre Lane, MMSA QP, a Global Resource Engineering, Ltd. employee.
2. Mineral reserves are constrained within an open pit design that uses the following assumptions: gold price of \$2,400/oz considering only oxide material; gold recoveries varied by deposit and ore type, ranging from 43% to 67%; reference mining cost of \$3.24/t mined in-situ and \$2.93/t mined fill; processing cost of \$6.51/t processed for oxide crushed material and \$4.23/t for oxide run-of-mine ("ROM") material; G&A costs of \$1.14/t ore processed; treatment and refining costs of \$38.73/oz gold recoverable; royalty costs of \$132.00/oz gold recoverable; and pit slope inter-ramp angles ranged from 36–42° for rock and 36° for alluvium / fill.
3. Mineral reserves are reported at a cut-off grade ranging from 0.14 g/t to 0.15 g/t.
4. Mineral Reserves include a stockpile of 1,094 kt at an average grade of 0.21 g/t and total contained gold of 6.78 Koz.
5. Mineral Reserves include Heap Leach Inventory of 6,648 kt at an average grade of 0.29 g/t and total contained gold of 56.5 Koz.
6. Numbers have been rounded and may not sum.

Notes to Mineral Resources:

1. Mineral resources are reported, using the 2014 CIM Definition Standards, with an effective date of May 31, 2026. The qualified person as defined under NI 43-101 for the estimate is Ms. Terre Lane, MMSA QP, a Global Resource Engineering, Ltd. employee.
2. Mineral resources are reported inclusive of those mineral resources converted to mineral reserves. Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability.
3. Mineral resources are constrained within a conceptual open pit shell that uses the following assumptions: gold price of \$2,650/oz; gold recoveries ranging from 43% to 67% for oxides and 80% for sulfides; reference mining cost of \$2.79/t mined in-situ and \$2.47/t mined fill; processing cost of \$6.51/t processed for oxide crushed material and \$4.23/t processed for oxide ROM material; processing cost of \$26.30/t

processed for sulfide material; general and administrative costs of \$1.14/t processed; treatment and refining costs of 38.73/oz Au recoverable; royalty of \$132.00/oz Au recoverable, and pit slope overall angles ranging from 30–36°.

4. Mineral resources are reported at a cut-off grade ranging from 0.13 g/t to 0.14 g/t for oxides and is 0.46 g/t for sulfides.
5. Mineral Resources include a stockpile of 1,094 kt at an average grade of 0.21 g/t and total contained gold of 6.78 Koz.
6. Mineral Resources include Heap Leach Inventory of 6,648 kt at an average grade of 0.29 g/t and total contained gold of 56.5 Koz.
7. Numbers have been rounded and may not sum.

(b) STANDARD MINE

Notes to Mineral Resources

1. Mineral Resources are reported, using the 2014 CIM Definition Standards, with an effective date of May 31, 2026. The Qualified Person for the estimate is Mr. Antoine Teixeira de Carvalho, P.Geo., a BTAMS employee.
2. Mineral Resources are reported inclusive of those Mineral Resources converted to Mineral Reserves. Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability.
3. Mineral Resources are constrained within a conceptual open pit shell that uses the following assumptions: gold price of US\$2,650/oz; gold recoveries ranging from 43% to 66.7% for oxides and 80% for sulfides; reference mining cost of \$2.79/ton mined in-situ and \$2.47/ton mined fill; processing cost of \$5.37/ton processed for oxide crushed material and \$3.09/ton processed for oxide ROM material; processing cost of \$25.16/ton processed for sulfide material; general and administrative costs of \$1.14/ton processed; treatment and refining costs of \$38.73/oz Au recoverable; royalty of \$145.75/oz Au recoverable, and pit slope overall angles ranging from 30–43°.
4. Mineral Resources are reported at a cut-off grade ranging from 0.0038 oz/ton to 0.0071 oz/ton for oxides and is 0.0133 oz/ton for sulfides.
5. Numbers have been rounded and may not sum

(c) DeLamar Project

Notes to Mineral Reserves:

1. All estimates of Mineral Reserves have been prepared in accordance with NI 43-101 standards and are included within the current Measured and Indicated Mineral Resources.
2. Sterling K. Watson, P.Eng., of RESPEC Company LLC of Reno, Nevada, is a qualified person as defined in NI 43-101, and is responsible for reporting Mineral Reserves for the DeLamar Project. Mr. Watson is independent of the Company.
3. Mineral Reserves are based on prices of \$2,000/oz Au and \$25/oz Ag. The Mineral Reserves were defined based on pit designs that were created to follow optimized pit shells created in Whittle. Pit designs followed pit slope recommendations provided by RESPEC.
4. Mineral Reserves are reported using block value cutoff grades representing the cost of processing.
5. The Mineral Reserves are constrained by pit optimizations using a price of \$2,000/oz Au, a price of \$25/oz Ag, mining cost of \$2.50/tonne, variable processing costs ranging from \$3.26-\$5.30/tonne, and metallurgical recoveries ranging from 45%-95% for Au and 15%-92% for Ag.

The pit optimizations also used a G&A cost of \$0.65/tonne, pad replacement cost of \$1.00/tonne for heap-leach material, and refining costs of \$0.00/oz and \$0.50 for Au and Ag, respectively.

6. Energy prices of US\$3.50 per gallon of diesel.
7. Pit optimizations were run on a range of prices from \$500/oz Au to \$3,000/oz Au.
8. The cut-off grade for Mineral Reserves is based on economics at a "break-even Internal" cut-off grade for the deposits.
9. The Mineral Reserves purposes of reference is the point where material is fed into the crusher.
10. All ounces reported herein represent troy ounces, "g/t Au" represents grams per tonne gold and "g/t Ag" represents grams per tonne silver.
11. Mineral Resources reported are inclusive of Mineral Reserves.
12. Rounding as required by reporting guidelines may result in apparent discrepancies between tonnes, grades, and contained metal content.
13. The estimate of Mineral Reserves may be materially affected by geology, environment, permitting, legal, title, taxation, sociopolitical, marketing, or other relevant issues.
14. The Effective Date of the Mineral Reserves Estimate is December 8, 2025.

Notes to Mineral Resources:

1. All Mineral Resource estimates have been prepared in accordance with NI 43-101 standards.
2. Mineral resources that are not mineral reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability.
3. Jeffrey Bickel, of RESPEC Company LLC of Reno, Nevada, is a Qualified Person as defined in NI 43-101, and is responsible for reporting Mineral Resources for the DeLamar Project. Mr. Bickel is independent of the Company.
4. "Oxide", as listed above, is an aggregate category inclusive of all material types amenable to heap-leaching, including In-Situ Oxide, Stockpiles, and In-Situ Mixed material.
5. In-Situ Oxide/Mixed and Stockpile Mineral Resources are reported at a 0.17 and 0.1 g/t AuEq cut-off, respectively, in consideration of potential open-pit mining and heap leach processing.
6. Sulfide mineral resources are reported at a 0.3 g AuEq/t cut-off at DeLamar and 0.2 g AuEq/t at Florida Mountain in consideration of potential open pit mining and grinding, flotation, ultra-fine regrind of concentrates, and either Albion or agitated cyanide-leaching of the reground concentrates.
7. AuEq was calculated using a price of \$2,650/oz Au and a price of \$30/oz Ag, as well as metallurgical recoveries which were variable based on spatial area and each respective oxidation zone of the deposit.
8. The Mineral Resources are constrained by pit optimizations using a price of \$2,650/oz Au, a price of \$30/oz Ag, mining cost of \$2.50/tonne, variable processing costs ranging from \$3.26-\$5.30/tonne, and metallurgical recoveries ranging from 45%-95% for Au and 15%-92% for Ag. Variable metallurgical recoveries and processing costs correspond to various material types including Oxide, Transition, Sulphide, and Stockpile materials, as well as spatial zones of the deposit with defined metallurgical characteristics. The pit optimizations also used a G&A

cost of \$0.65/tonne, pad replacement cost of \$1.00/tonne for heap leach material, and refining costs of \$0.00/oz and \$0.50/oz for Au and Ag, respectively.

9. Rounding as required by reporting guidelines may result in apparent discrepancies between tonnes, grades, and contained metal content.
10. The estimate of Mineral Resources may be materially affected by geology, environment, permitting, legal, title, taxation, sociopolitical, marketing, or other relevant issues.
11. Mineral Resources reported are inclusive of Mineral Reserves.
12. The Effective Date of the Mineral Resource Estimate is December 8, 2025.

(d) Nevada North Project

Notes to Mineral Resources:

1. Effective date of the Mineral Resource Estimate is June 28, 2023.
2. Mineral Resources that are not Mineral Reserves do not have demonstrated economic viability.
3. William J. Lewis, P.Geo., of Micon has reviewed and verified the Mineral Resource Estimate for the Wildcat Project. Mr. Lewis is an independent Qualified Person, as defined in National Instrument 43-101 Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects (NI 43-101).
4. The estimate is reported for an open-pit mining scenario, based upon reasonable assumptions. The cut-off grade of 0.15 g/t Au was calculated using a gold price of US\$1,800/oz, mining costs of US\$2.4/t, processing cost of US\$3.7/t, G&A costs of US\$0.5/t, and metallurgical gold recoveries varying from 73.0% to 52.0% and silver recoveries of 18%. The gold equivalent figures in the resource estimate are calculated using the formula $(g/t \text{ Au} + (g/t \text{ Ag} \div 77.7))$.
5. An average bulk density of 2.6 g/cm³ was assigned to all mineralized rock types.
6. The Inverse Distance cubed interpolation was used with a parent block size of 15.24 m x 15.24 m x 9.144 m.
7. Rounding as required by reporting guidelines may result in minor apparent discrepancies between tonnes, grades, and contained metal content.
8. The estimate of mineral resources may be materially affected by geological, environmental, permitting, legal, title, taxation, sociopolitical, marketing, or other relevant issues.
9. Neither Integra nor Micon's QP is aware of any known environmental, permitting, legal, title-related, taxation, socio-political, marketing or other relevant issue that could materially affect the mineral resource estimate other than any information already disclosed in this report.

NI 43-101 and Qualified Person

The scientific and technical information contained in this presentation has been reviewed and approved by James Frost, P.Eng., Director, Technical Services of Integra Resources Corp., who is a qualified person as defined by National Instrument 43-101 – Standards of Disclosure for Mineral Projects.

To the best of Integra Resources Corp.'s knowledge, information and belief, there is no new material scientific or technical information that would make the disclosure of the mineral resources or mineral reserves included in the Florida Canyon Report inaccurate or misleading.

About Integra Resources

Integra is a growing precious metals producer in the Great Basin of the Western United States. Integra is focused on demonstrating profitability and operational excellence at its principal operating asset, the Florida Canyon Mine, located in Nevada. In addition, Integra is committed to advancing its flagship development-stage heap leach projects: the past producing DeLamar Project located in southwestern Idaho, and the Nevada North Project located in western Nevada. Integra creates sustainable value for shareholders, stakeholders, and local communities through successful mining operations, efficient project development, disciplined capital allocation, and strategic M&A, while upholding the highest industry standards for environmental, social, and governance practices.

Cautionary Note for U.S. Investors Concerning Mineral Resources and Reserves

NI 43-101 is a rule of the Canadian Securities Administrators which establishes standards for all public disclosure an issuer makes of scientific and technical information concerning mineral projects. Technical disclosure contained in this news release has been prepared in accordance with NI 43-101 and the Canadian Institute of Mining, Metallurgy and Petroleum Classification System. These standards differ from the requirements of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and resource information contained in this news release may not be comparable to similar information disclosed by domestic United States companies subject to the SEC's reporting and disclosure requirements.

All material information on Integra can be found at www.sedarplus.ca or at www.sec.gov.